



Evidence Summary

Faculty Knowledge of Information Literacy Standards Has an Impact in the Classroom

A Review of:

Saunders, L. (2012). Faculty perspectives on information literacy as a student learning outcome. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 38(4), 226-236. doi: 10.1016/j.acalib.2012.06.001

Reviewed by:

Giovanna Badia
Liaison Librarian, Schulich Library of Science and Engineering
McGill University
Montreal, Quebec, Canada
Email: giovanna.badia@mcgill.ca

Received: 3 Dec. 2012

Accepted: 22 Jan. 2013

© 2013 Badia. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons-Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike License 2.5 Canada (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/2.5/ca/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly attributed, not used for commercial purposes, and, if transformed, the resulting work is redistributed under the same or similar license to this one.

Abstract

Objective – To discover how faculty perceives information literacy and examine whether professors in different disciplines view and approach information literacy differently. Particularly, the study seeks to address the following questions:

- “How do faculty members define or understand information literacy?
 - Are they familiar with existing standards such as [those from the Association of College and Research Libraries] ACRL?
 - Does the development of a local definition of information literacy impact faculty understanding?
- How important do instructors believe information literacy to be for their students? How do they address

information literacy, or expect it to be addressed within the curriculum?

- Are there disciplinary differences in faculty attitudes toward and approaches to information literacy?” (p. 227)

Design – Survey, i.e., an online questionnaire followed by interviews.

Setting – Colleges and universities in the United States.

Subjects – 834 faculty members in anthropology, the natural sciences, computer science, English literature, psychology, and political science from a sample of 50 American colleges and universities with undergraduate degree programs.

Methods – An email, containing a link to a brief online survey, was sent to 834 professors

from academic institutions across the United States. Three faculty members from each department in six different disciplines from each institution were contacted. The survey contained a mix of closed and open-ended questions and could be completed in less than 10 minutes. Respondents were asked to supply their contact information if they agreed to be phoned for a follow-up interview. The interview consisted of six questions that were posed to all participants, with some changes depending on the answers given.

Main Results – Regardless of discipline, the majority of faculty members who responded to the survey thought that information literacy competencies were important for their students to master. The majority also rated their students as only “somewhat strong” in “identifying scholarly materials, identifying reliable/authoritative information, finding relevant information, citing sources properly, synthesizing information, and searching databases” (p. 229). Professors’ answers differed within different disciplines when it came to showing their own knowledge of information literacy standards, such as those of ACRL, and assessing the abilities of their students. For example, biology students’ web searching skills were rated higher than students in English literature and anthropology. When faculty were asked their opinions about who should be responsible for information literacy instruction, there was no straight answer. Many professors agreed that it is the responsibility of both faculty and librarians. Those faculty members who were knowledgeable about information literacy standards were also among the ones who included information literacy instruction in their courses and thought it was important for their students to learn.

Conclusion – According to the author, the study results show that possibilities continue to exist for librarians to be part of information literacy endeavours, but it is still up to the librarians to start and maintain conversations with faculty on this topic. Because faculty members have not yet found systematic methods for integrating information literacy into the curriculum, they might be open to

librarians’ suggestions and ideas on this topic. “Perhaps the most important finding of this study is that knowledge of and familiarity with information literacy standards is more closely associated with whether faculty address information literacy in their courses than any other variable including disciplinary area” (p. 232). Therefore, it is the librarian’s responsibility to engage in discussions with faculty about information literacy.

Commentary

Information literacy is a popular topic in the library science literature, but few studies have looked at what faculty members think about information literacy. The few studies that exist examined the attitudes of faculty within a single disciplinary area, institution, or geographic region (Boon, Johnston, & Webber, 2007; DaCosta, 2010; Gullikson, 2006; Hardesty, 1995; Leckie & Fullerton, 1999; McGuinness, 2006; Nazari & Webber, 2011; Singh, 2005; Wu & Kendall, 2006). This study goes further by investigating the information literacy perceptions of professors in different disciplines from numerous academic institutions across the United States. The author states that “the results of this study provide academic librarians a broader insight into faculty understanding of information literacy and will help to advance the discourse of information literacy further into the disciplines” (p. 227).

This reviewer used Glynn’s EBLIP Critical Appraisal Checklist (2006) to help evaluate the study’s methodology. The author randomly selected a large sample of 50 colleges and universities to target. However, the author does not describe how these institutions were randomly selected, which makes it difficult to say whether the choice of population is unbiased and whether the results can be applied to a larger population. The 33.3% average response rate also prevents the results from being generalized. Nonetheless, the study’s results provide some thought-provoking observations of faculty attitudes towards information literacy that librarians can use to engage in discussions with

professors about incorporating information literacy into their courses and program curriculums.

This study encourages librarians to approach or keep reaching out to faculty about information literacy, since it “suggests that faculty might be receptive to approaches by librarians” and “that faculty have a lot of respect for librarians and their expertise” (p. 232). The professors surveyed appeared to welcome being contacted by librarians about information literacy and understood the challenges that librarians face in attracting students to training sessions. Those faculty members who knew about information literacy standards tended to include information literacy instruction and assess these skills in their courses. After reading Saunders’s article, this reviewer has been motivated to speak about information literacy standards when approaching faculty.

References

- Boon, S., Johnston, B., & Webber, S. (2007). A phenomenographic study of English faculty's conceptions of information literacy. *Journal of Documentation*, 63(2), 204-228. doi: 10.1108/00220410710737187
- DaCosta, J. W. (2010). Is there an information literacy skills gap to be bridged? An examination of faculty perceptions and activities relating to information literacy in the United States and England. *College and Research Libraries*, 71(3), 203-222.
- Glynn, L. (2006). A critical appraisal tool for library and information research. *Library Hi Tech*, 24(3), 387-399.
- Gullikson, S. (2006). Faculty perceptions of ACRL's Information Literacy Competency Standards for Higher Education. *Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 32(6), 583-592. doi: 10.1016/j.acalib.2006.06.001
- Hardesty, L. (1995). Faculty culture and bibliographic instruction: An exploratory analysis. *Library Trends*, 44(2), 339-367.
- Leckie, G. J., & Fullerton, A. (1999). Information literacy in science and engineering undergraduate education: Faculty attitudes and pedagogical practices. *College and Research Libraries*, 60(1), 9-29.
- McGuinness, C. (2006). What faculty think – Exploring the barriers to information literacy development in undergraduate education. *Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 32(6), 573-582. doi: 10.1016/j.acalib.2006.06.002
- Nazari, M., & Webber, S. (2011). What do the conceptions of geo/spatial information tell us about information literacy? *Journal of Documentation*, 67(2), 334-354. doi: 10.1108/002204111111109502
- Singh, A. B. (2005). A report on faculty perceptions of students' information literacy competencies in journalism and mass communication programs: The ACEJMC survey. *College and Research Libraries*, 66(4), 294-310.
- Wu, Y. D., & Kendall, S. L. (2006). Teaching faculty's perspectives on business information literacy. *Reference Services Review*, 34(1), 86-96. doi: 10.1108/00907320610648789