B Evidence Based Library and Information Practice

Evidence Summary

Relevancy Trumps Format When Teaching Information Literacy

A Review of:

Tewell, E. C. (2014). Tying television comedies to information literacy: A mixed-methods investigation. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 40(2), 134-141. doi:10.1016/j.acalib.2014.02.004

Reviewed by:

Giovanna Badia Liaison Librarian, Schulich Library of Science and Engineering McGill University Montréal, Québec, Canada Email: <u>giovanna.badia@mcgill.ca</u>

Received: 8 Sep. 2014

Accepted: 18 Nov. 2014

© 2014 Badia. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons-Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike License 4.0 International (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly attributed, not used for commercial purposes, and, if transformed, the resulting work is redistributed under the same or similar license to this one.

Abstract

Objective – This study assessed the effects of showing television comedy clips to demonstrate information literacy concepts when teaching one-shot instruction sessions. More specifically, it examined whether the students' retention and understanding increased when television comedy clips were used and whether students preferred instruction that included popular culture examples.

Design – A mixed-methods investigation that employed multiple-choice questionnaires and focus group interviews.

Setting – A small liberal arts college in the United States of America.

Subjects – A total of 211 freshmen students enrolled in a First-Year Studies course. The students were divided into 16 class sections. The author collected a total of 193 valid responses to the pretests and posttests in his study.

Methods – Half of the class sections (103 respondents) were taught selected information literacy concepts using television comedy clips and a group discussion led by the instructor. The other half (90 respondents) were taught using only an instructor-led discussion. The classes were randomly selected to belong to the experimental group (with TV comedy clips) or the control group (without TV comedy clips). An online pretest questionnaire, consisting of 10 multiple-choice questions, was administered at the beginning of the 90-minute library instruction session for both groups. An online posttest questionnaire, consisting of the same questions as the pretest but in a randomized order, was completed by the students at the end of the session. About a month later, one-hour focus group interviews were conducted with a small subset of the study's subjects who volunteered to participate in the focus groups. The experimental focus group consisted of five study participants who had attended a library instruction session that involved showing the television comedy clips and the control focus group consisted of six study participants who had attended a library instruction session that did not include showing the television comedy clips.

Main Results – The experimental group scored higher than the control group on the posttest with an average "increase of 1.07 points from pre- to posttest compared to a 0.13 mean increase in the control group" (p. 139), which means that the experimental group answered one more question correctly. Four out of the five participants in the experimental focus group also discussed the television comedy clips even though they were not asked about them. Conversely, when asked about what they enjoyed in the class, the majority of participants from both focus groups discussed the content covered in the session rather than any teaching methods employed. "The quantitative results suggest that student test results either increased, as in the experimental group, or remained relatively level, as in the control group, due to the type of instruction received" (p. 137).

Conclusion – The author states that the results from the test questionnaires and answers from focus group sessions indicate that using television comedy clips may be a successful way of improving students' retention of course content. However, the study's results could not demonstrate that students liked classes with popular culture examples more than classes without them, since the majority of focus group participants found the course content more interesting than the manner in which the content was taught. The relevancy of the content presented in an information literacy session appears to make more of an impact on the students than the format in which it is presented.

Commentary

This well-conducted study supplements the small pool of existing literature on the use of popular culture as one method of supporting information literacy by seeking to answer research questions that build upon the literature. Glynn's (2006) EBL Critical Appraisal Checklist was applied to this study, which ranks highly for the data collection, study design, and results questions in the checklist. The author clearly describes the study's methods and results for the readers to form their own interpretation of the data, which will support the logic of the author's conclusions. In addition to the description in the methods section, the appendices contain the questions used in the pretest, posttest, and focus groups, making it easy for readers to reproduce the study. The only missing detail is how the groups were randomized. In this reviewer's opinion, this article can serve as one possible example of how an assessment study should be written and conducted.

This study does not rank as highly for the population section of questions in the critical appraisal checklist, due to the author's use of a small "nonrandom convenience sample" (p. 140). The author indicates the sample size as a limitation of the study, since the results cannot be generalized to the entire undergraduate population. He cautions readers to take into account their own student population and organizational characteristics when deciding how to apply the study's findings. Librarians teaching information literacy sessions will be able to apply the study's major finding, "that the fundamental difference that encourages student learning appears to lay not in the specific format but in making information literacy more relevant and accessible to students' lives" (p. 140), to their own teaching methods. The choice of whether to employ popular culture in information literacy sessions is up to the instructor, since there was only an average increase of 1.07 points between the students' scores on the pretests and posttests. While this is statistically significant, it may not be a large enough difference to be practically significant such that librarians would be convinced to start incorporating television comedy clips into their own information literacy sessions if they are not already doing so.

References

Glynn, L. (2006). A critical appraisal tool for library and information research. *Library Hi Tech*, 24(3), 387-399. doi:10.1108/07378830610692145