

# Exploring Empathy and the Right Hemisphere: From Neurological Foundations to Clinical Insights

Received: 28 January 2024

Accepted: 15 November 2024

Published: 28 November 2024

Vanishta Tancoo<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Psychology, University of Alberta

\* Corresponding author: tancoo@ualberta.ca

## ABSTRACT

Empathy, a multifaceted construct encompassing affective responsiveness, cognitive perspective-taking, and emotional regulation, is fundamental to human relationships and societal cohesion. This review examines the right hemisphere's role in mediating empathic processes, drawing upon evidence from neuroimaging, lesion studies, and investigations of gender differences. Findings demonstrate that the right hemisphere is critical for processing emotional cues, such as facial expressions and tone of voice, which are essential for recognizing and responding to others' emotions. Damage to this region significantly impairs emotional recognition and empathetic behaviour, with implications for interpersonal interactions and broader societal dynamics. Furthermore, empathy is not static but evolves throughout life, influenced by biological, cognitive, emotional, and environmental factors. By synthesizing current research, this review highlights the right hemisphere's pivotal role in the neural underpinnings of empathy, providing insights into its developmental trajectory and potential therapeutic targets for enhancing emotional and social functioning.

**KEY WORDS:** Right Hemisphere, Neuropsychology, Empathic Functioning, Emotional Processing

## 1 | INTRODUCTION

Empathy, the ability to understand and share another person's emotions, is a multifaceted construct involving both affective and cognitive components (Decety & Jackson, 2006). It requires the capacity to experience emotions similar to another's (affective empathy) and the ability to comprehend their thoughts and feelings (cognitive empathy) intellectually (Baron-Cohen & Wheelwright, 2004). While empathy evolves over time and is not a fixed trait, it plays a significant role in fostering compassion, kindness, and altruism in human interactions. Active listening, reflective responding, and perspective-taking enhance empathy by promoting emotional regulation and deeper emotional awareness (Gaspar & Esteves, 2022). Furthermore, empathy is associated with behaviours that reinforce prosocial connections and community bonds, suggesting that empathy is vital not only for individual emotional growth but also for societal cohesion.

The right hemisphere of the brain has been shown to play a central role in processing empathy, particularly in

recognizing and interpreting emotional cues and adopting another's perspective. Research indicates that the right hemisphere is specialized for processing emotional and social information, with structures like the right frontal lobe, right parietal lobe, and right temporal lobe being particularly involved in these tasks (Davidson & Irwin, 1999; Shamay-Tsoory, 2009). The right frontal lobe, responsible for higher-order cognitive functions, is involved in emotional regulation and decision-making, which are essential for appropriate empathic responses (Miller et al., 2016). The right parietal lobe plays a role in integrating sensory information and understanding social cues, while the right temporal lobe processes auditory and visual information crucial for emotional expression recognition, such as faces and voices (Kosslyn et al., 2014; Schultz, 2016). The occipital lobe, though primarily involved in visual processing, also contributes to the interpretation of emotional expressions (Mishkin & Ungerleider, 1982). Together, these regions enable the brain to process nonverbal communication, which is critical for

recognizing emotions and responding appropriately in social interactions.

Empathy is often classified into three types: cognitive, emotional, and compassionate empathy. Cognitive empathy refers to the ability to understand or infer the thoughts and feelings of others, often tied to the theory of mind (Rueckert & Naybar, 2008). Emotional empathy, in contrast, involves sharing the emotional experiences of others, fostering deeper interpersonal connections (Rueckert & Naybar, 2008). Compassionate empathy, also known as empathic concern, goes beyond understanding and sharing emotions and is coupled with a desire to help alleviate the other person's distress (Goleman, 2006). These types of empathy engage distinct neural pathways in the brain, with cognitive empathy activating the prefrontal cortex, emotional empathy engaging the amygdala and insula, and compassionate empathy requiring an integrative process that activates both cognitive and emotional regions (Shamay-Tsoory, 2009; Decety & Jackson, 2004).

The right hemisphere is particularly important for emotional empathy, with areas like the anterior insula and anterior cingulate cortex being activated in response to the emotional states of others (Decety & Jackson, 2004). Nonverbal communication, such as facial expressions, body language, and tone of voice, plays a significant role in empathy, and the right hemisphere is specialized in processing these nonverbal cues (Davidson & Irwin, 1999). Damage to the right hemisphere can result in difficulties recognizing emotions, understanding social cues, and responding appropriately in social contexts (Adolphs, 2002). For example, individuals with right hemisphere lesions often struggle with tasks involving emotional processing and social interaction. These findings emphasize the importance of the right hemisphere in empathy, highlighting its critical role in interpreting emotional expressions, regulating emotional responses, and facilitating prosocial behaviour. Therefore, empathy is a complex, multidimensional process that involves both cognitive understanding and emotional resonance, with the right hemisphere playing a central role in this process.

## 2 | NEURAL MECHANISMS OF EMPATHY IN THE RIGHT HEMISPHERE

One of the key players in empathic processing is the anterior insula, which activates when individuals observe others in distress, highlighting its role in emotional empathy. It is integral to the subjective experience of emotions,

allowing individuals to resonate with the feelings of others (Craig, 2009). The anterior cingulate cortex also plays a significant role in empathy by integrating emotional and cognitive information, enabling a nuanced understanding and response to others' emotional states. Notably, dysfunction in this area has been linked to challenges in empathic responding, especially in individuals with personality disorders (Keenan et al., 2001).

The mirror neuron system, predominantly located in the right hemisphere, is activated both when a person acts and when they observe someone else performing the same action. This mechanism is believed to underpin the ability to mimic and comprehend the emotions of others, thereby facilitating empathic responses (Rizzolatti & Craighero, 2004). This mirroring effect allows individuals to simulate the emotional experiences of others. Consequently, the right hemisphere's involvement with the mirror neuron system is pivotal in enabling the automatic sharing of emotions, which is central to empathic engagement (Rizzolatti & Sinigaglia, 2010). This is particularly evident when witnessing others in pain or distress, as the same neural circuits are activated as if the observer were experiencing the pain themselves.

Research consistently demonstrates that the right hemisphere is adept at processing and responding to emotional stimuli, with functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and electroencephalography (EEG) studies showing that it is mainly activated in tasks involving the recognition and interpretation of facial expressions (Lane et al., 1998). Key structures, including the amygdala, insula, and anterior cingulate cortex, are crucial for emotional regulation and empathy (Phan et al., 2002). The right amygdala, in particular, is heavily involved in emotional responses and is particularly sensitive to negative emotions, such as fear or sadness, commonly associated with empathic reactions.

In emotionally charged situations, the amygdala, along with the insula and anterior cingulate cortex, works to modulate the intensity of emotional experiences. Phan et al. (2002) highlighted that these areas, especially within the right hemisphere, are activated when individuals empathize with others' emotional pain. This enables the regulation of both personal and shared emotional experiences, which are fundamental to empathy. Individuals with right hemisphere damage have difficulty interpreting facial expressions, particularly negative emotions (Lane et al., 1998; Decety & Lamm, 2007), emphasizing the hemisphere's role in empathy.

Another essential aspect of empathy involves the regulation of one's emotional responses. Effectively

managing personal emotional reactions while responding to the emotions of others is crucial for healthy social interactions. The right hemisphere, particularly regions such as the anterior cingulate cortex and the prefrontal cortex, plays a vital role in this regulatory process, enabling the modulation of emotional intensity, and assisting individuals in balancing their emotions with those of others (Decety & Lamm, 2007).

When individuals encounter emotionally charged situations, the right hemisphere aids in determining appropriate responses - whether to mirror emotions, as in emotional empathy or to regulate responses to provide support or solutions, as seen in compassionate empathy. The prefrontal cortex is particularly instrumental in managing these complex emotional responses by integrating emotional and cognitive inputs, facilitating a measured and appropriate empathic reaction (Lamm et al., 2011).

The right hemisphere is also crucial for social cognition, encompassing the mental processes that enable individuals to navigate and understand social interactions. Social cognition closely relates to empathy, as it involves the ability to infer the emotions, intentions, and mental states of others, a skill often referred to as theory of mind. Decety and Lamm (2007) found that the right temporoparietal junction plays a significant role in the theory of mind and perspective-taking, both of which are essential for empathic understanding. Damage to this area impairs perspective-taking, reducing empathetic abilities. Moreover, the right prefrontal cortex contributes to moral reasoning and social decision-making, linking empathy to appropriate social behaviours. The ability to understand and predict the thoughts and emotions of others, and to act accordingly, is critical for prosocial behavior, further reinforcing the right hemisphere's integral role in fostering social empathy.

### 3 | EMPATHY DISORDERS AND RIGHT HEMISPHERE DYSFUNCTION

Individuals with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) often face challenges with empathy and social communication. Neuroimaging studies suggest that altered connectivity in the right hemisphere may contribute to difficulties with emotional recognition and social communication, particularly with social cues (Schultz et al., 2003; Baron-Cohen et al., 2000). Another example of right hemisphere dysfunction is prosopagnosia, a condition characterized by an inability to recognize faces. This often

stems from damage to the right hemisphere, impairing empathic engagement as facial recognition is crucial for social interactions (Barton, 2008). Additionally, patients with right hemisphere lesions may struggle with emotional regulation, which can result in inappropriate responses during social interactions, ultimately affecting their relationships and quality of life.

One of the most well-documented effects of right hemisphere damage is the loss or reduction of empathy. Lesions in this region, whether due to stroke, traumatic brain injury, or other neurological conditions, often result in impaired emotional processing and a reduced capacity for empathy. People with right hemisphere damage may have trouble interpreting emotional facial expressions, so they are less responsive to emotional cues and may exhibit flat or inappropriate emotional reactions in social settings. Studies have shown that such individuals also exhibit reduced empathic concern and difficulties with perspective-taking (Shamay-Tsoory et al., 2009).

These findings are consistent with earlier research indicating that the right hemisphere is specialized for processing emotional and social information. Damage to specific areas, such as the temporoparietal junction and the prefrontal cortex, is associated with impaired theory of mind and emotional recognition, both of which are critical for effective empathic functioning (Saxe & Wexler, 2005). Additionally, neurodegenerative diseases that primarily affect the right hemisphere can also result in empathy disorders. Frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTLD) is one such condition, in which progressive damage to the frontal and temporal lobes, often beginning in the right hemisphere, leads to profound changes in social behaviour. Patients with FTLD frequently experience a reduction in empathy and emotional sensitivity, becoming emotionally detached or indifferent to others' feelings.

The degeneration of structures in the right hemisphere, particularly the orbitofrontal cortex and anterior temporal regions, leads to a diminished ability to empathize with others and a loss of insight into one's own emotions (Gainotti, 2019). Patients with right hemisphere-dominant FTLD often display inappropriate social behaviour, reduced concern for others, and difficulty interpreting emotional signals like facial expressions or tone of voice. The progressive nature of FTLD makes it a key area of study for understanding how right hemisphere dysfunction can result in empathy disorders, as the gradual degeneration of specific brain regions provides insights into the neural basis of

empathy and emotional processing (Rankin et al., 2006). This highlights the right hemisphere's essential role in integrating emotional experiences and supporting social understanding.

Other neuropsychological conditions, such as strokes and tumours in the right hemisphere, also impair emotional regulation and social cognition. Patients with these conditions may experience difficulties with recognizing emotional prosody, leading to misunderstandings in social communication, as people with this damage may struggle to discern whether someone is angry, happy, or sad based on vocal intonation alone. Right hemisphere damage disrupts the ability to interpret emotional expressions, body language, and facial cues, further isolating individuals socially (Adolphs, 2002).

Several psychiatric disorders also involve right hemisphere dysfunction. For example, schizophrenia has been linked to abnormalities in the right hemisphere, particularly in regions responsible for social cognition and emotional processing. Individuals with schizophrenia often exhibit reduced empathy, difficulties in emotional recognition, and impairments in theory of mind (Shenton et al., 2001). These deficits are thought to stem in part from dysfunction in the right temporoparietal junction and prefrontal cortex, areas that are crucial for perspective-taking and emotional regulation.

## 4 | METHODOLOGICAL APPROACHES TO STUDYING EMPATHY AND THE RIGHT HEMISPHERE

Research on empathy and its neural basis, particularly within the right hemisphere, has been a central area of interest in neuroscience and psychology. Researchers have used various methods—such as neuroimaging, lesion studies, and psychophysiological measures—to explore how the right hemisphere influences emotional processing and empathetic behaviour.

One of the most powerful tools in this research is functional neuroimaging, including functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and positron emission tomography (PET) scans. These techniques allow scientists to observe brain activity in real time as people engage in tasks that involve empathy. For example, fMRI studies have identified key areas in the right hemisphere, such as the amygdala, insula, and anterior cingulate cortex, which are activated during emotionally charged situations (Phan et al., 2002). These

findings have helped us better understand the brain's role in how we process and respond to the emotions of others.

fMRI is also particularly valuable because it tracks changes in blood flow and oxygenation in the brain, providing a non-invasive way to examine brain function. This has proven essential not just for studying empathy in healthy individuals but also for understanding how empathy is affected by brain damage. For instance, research by Shamay-Tsoory et al. (2009) demonstrated that individuals with impairments in empathic concern showed reduced activity in parts of the right hemisphere, particularly areas linked to social cognition, such as the right temporoparietal junction. While PET scans are less commonly used due to their invasive nature and cost, they still offer valuable insights. PET studies often confirm the findings from fMRI by showing reduced metabolic activity in regions such as the anterior insula among individuals with right hemisphere damage, further highlighting the brain's role in emotional empathy (Phan et al., 2002).

Lesion studies, which focus on individuals with localized brain damage, have allowed researchers to compare individuals with right hemisphere damage to those without, revealing significant impairments in emotional recognition, social interaction, and perspective-taking abilities (Shamay-Tsoory et al., 2009). This has been crucial in demonstrating the right hemisphere's involvement in both emotional and cognitive aspects of empathy. For instance, damage to the right prefrontal cortex can lead to significant deficits in empathy and theory of mind, which are critical for understanding others' emotions and making moral decisions (Decety & Lamm, 2007).

Moreover, psychophysiological measures offer further insights into how the right hemisphere governs empathy. These methods include monitoring heart rate variability (HRV), skin conductance, and facial electromyography (EMG) to track emotional and empathic responses at a physiological level. For instance, HRV is often used to assess the balance between the sympathetic (fight or flight) and parasympathetic (rest and digest) nervous systems. Empathic engagement, especially emotional empathy, is linked to increased parasympathetic activity, as shown by higher HRV (Porges, 2001). Studies have also indicated that individuals with right hemisphere damage experience dysregulated autonomic responses, which can interfere with their ability to engage in emotionally attuned interactions.

Skin conductance, which measures the electrical activity of the skin in response to emotional stimuli, has also

been useful in empathy research. Shamay-Tsoory et al. (2009) found that individuals with right hemisphere damage often exhibit weaker skin conductance responses to emotional stimuli, suggesting a diminished physiological engagement with emotional content. Facial EMG, which measures muscle activity associated with emotional expressions like smiling or frowning, has further demonstrated the role of the right hemisphere in generating spontaneous emotional reactions. Research has shown that individuals with right hemisphere damage tend to have asymmetrical facial expressions, with reduced emotion shown on the left side of the face, which is controlled by the right hemisphere (Dimberg & Petterson, 2000).

Behavioural paradigms such as empathy tasks and social simulations are also instrumental in studying empathy. The Empathy for Pain task, where participants observe images of individuals experiencing pain, has shown that people with right hemisphere damage often exhibit reduced emotional responses and lower levels of empathic concern (Lamm et al., 2011). This suggests that the right hemisphere is essential not only for recognizing others' emotions but also for generating appropriate emotional responses to their distress. Role-playing tasks, which require participants to adopt the perspective of another, have similarly demonstrated that individuals with damage to the right hemisphere struggled with perspective-taking, reinforcing the idea that the right hemisphere plays a critical role in cognitive empathy (Shamay-Tsoory et al., 2009).

## 5 | CONCLUSION

The right hemisphere plays an integral role in shaping empathic experiences. Its involvement in emotional processing, social cognition, and the neural mechanisms underlying empathy underscores the importance of understanding the brain's contributions to empathic behaviour. Insights into the role of the right hemisphere in empathy provide valuable insights into how we can cultivate this essential skill, ultimately promoting healthier relationships and fostering a greater sense of community. Right hemisphere dysfunction, whether due to injury, neurodegenerative disease, or psychiatric disorders, can have profound effects on empathy and emotional processing. The right hemisphere's involvement in recognizing emotional cues, processing nonverbal communication, and engaging in social cognition highlights its critical role in empathic functioning. Damage to this hemisphere can result in significant empathy deficits, as seen in individuals with right

hemisphere lesions, frontotemporal lobar degeneration, and other conditions. Continued research on the neural mechanisms of empathy, coupled with the exploration of cultural and social factors, will enhance our understanding of empathy's complexities and its significance in our lives.

## 6 | ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am profoundly grateful to my parents for their unwavering support, love, and encouragement, which have been a constant source of strength and inspiration. I also extend my heartfelt thanks to the editors for their invaluable feedback and guidance, which greatly enhanced the quality of this work.

## 7 | CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The author declares no conflict of interest.

## 8 | REFERENCES

- Adolphs, R. (2002). Neural systems for recognizing emotion. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 12(2), 169-177. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-4388\(02\)00301-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-4388(02)00301-X)
- Baron-Cohen, S., Wheelwright, S., Hill, J., Raste, Y., & Plumb, I. (2000). The 'Reading the Mind in the Eyes' test revised version: A study with normal adults, and adults with Asperger syndrome or high-functioning autism. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 42(2), 241-251. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1469-7610.00715>
- Baron-Cohen, S., & Wheelwright, S. (2004). The Empathy Quotient: An Investigation of Adults with Asperger Syndrome or High Functioning Autism, and Normal Sex Differences. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 34, 163-175. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JADD.0000022607.19833.00>
- Barton, J. J. S. (2008). Prosopagnosia and acquired disorders of face recognition. *Neuropsychologia*, 46(5), 123-132. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2007.09.004>
- Craig, A. D. (2009). How do you feel – now? The anterior insula and human awareness. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 10(1), 59-70. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2555>
- Davidson, R. J., & Irwin, W. (1999). The functional neuroanatomy of emotion and affective style. *Trends in*

- Cognitive Sciences*, 3(1), 11-21.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613\(98\)01265-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613(98)01265-0)
- Davidson, R. J. (2004). What does the prefrontal cortex “do” in affect: Perspectives on frontal EEG asymmetry research. *Biological Psychology*, 67(1-2), 219-234.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2004.03.008>
- Decety, J., & Jackson, P. L. (2004). The functional architecture of human empathy. *Behavioral and Cognitive Neuroscience Reviews*, 3(2), 71-100.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1534582304267187>
- Decety, J., & Jackson, P. L. (2006). A social-neuroscience perspective on empathy. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 15(2), 54-58.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0963-7214.2006.00406.x>
- Gainotti, G. (2019). Emotional blunting and empathy disorders following right hemisphere lesions. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10, 672.  
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00672>
- Gaspar, A., & Esteves, F. (2022). Empathy development from adolescence to adulthood and its consistency across targets. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13.  
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.936053>
- Goleman, D. (2006). *Social Intelligence: The New Science of Human Relationships*. Random House Publishing Group.
- Keenan, J. P., Nelson, A., O'Connor, M., & Pascual-Leone, A. (2001). Self-recognition and the right hemisphere. *Nature*, 409(6818), 305.  
<https://doi.org/10.1038/35053167>
- Kosslyn, S. M., Ganis, G., & Thompson, W. L. (2014). *The case for mental imagery*. Oxford University Press.
- Lamm, C., Decety, J., & Singer, T. (2011). Meta-analytic evidence for common and distinct neural networks associated with directly experienced pain and empathy for pain. *NeuroImage*, 54(3), 2492-2502.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.10.014>
- Lane, R. D., Kivley, L. S., Andrew Du Bois, M., Shamasundara, P., & Schwartz, G. E. (1995). Levels of emotional awareness and the degree of right hemispheric dominance in the perception of facial emotion. *Neuropsychologia*, 33(5), 525-538.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0028-3932\(94\)00131-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0028-3932(94)00131-8)
- Lane, R. D., Reiman, E. M., Ahern, G. L., Schwartz, G. E., & Davidson, R. J. (1998). Neuroanatomical correlates of happiness, sadness, and disgust. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, 154(7), 926-933.  
<https://doi.org/10.1176/ajp.154.7.926>
- Lane, R. D., Reiman, E. M., Axelrod, B., Yun, L. S., Holmes, A., & Schwartz, G. (1998). Neural correlates of levels of emotional awareness: Evidence of an interaction between emotion and attention in the anterior cingulate cortex. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 10(4), 525-535.  
<https://doi.org/10.1162/089892998562924>
- Miller, B. L., Boeve, B. F., & Dickerson, B. C. (2016). *The behavioral neurology of dementia*. Cambridge University Press.
- Mishkin, M., & Ungerleider, L. G. (1982). Contribution of striate inputs to the visuospatial functions of parieto-preoccipital cortex in monkeys. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 6(1), 57-77.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-4328\(82\)90081-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-4328(82)90081-X)
- Phan, K. L., Wager, T. D., Taylor, S. F., & Liberzon, I. (2002). Functional neuroanatomy of emotion: A meta-analysis of emotion activation studies in PET and fMRI. *NeuroImage*, 16(2), 331-348.  
<https://doi.org/10.1006/nimg.2002.1087>
- Porges, S. W. (2001). The polyvagal theory: Phylogenetic substrates of a social nervous system. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, 42(2), 123-146.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8760\(01\)00162-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8760(01)00162-3)
- Rankin, K. P., Gorno-Tempini, M. L., Allison, S. C., Stanley, C. M., Glenn, S., & Weiner, M. W. (2006). Structural anatomy of empathy in neurodegenerative disease. *Brain*, 129(11), 2945-2956.  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awl254>
- Rizzolatti, G., & Craighero, L. (2004). The mirror-neuron system. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, 27(1), 169-192.  
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.27.070203.144230>
- Rizzolatti, G., & Sinigaglia, C. (2010). The functional role of the parieto-frontal mirror circuit: Interpretations and misinterpretations. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 11(4), 264-274. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2805>
- Rueckert, L., & Naybar, N. (2008). Gender differences in empathy: The role of the right hemisphere. *Brain and Cognition*, 67(2), 162-167.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandc.2008.01.002>
- Saxe, R., & Wexler, A. (2005). Making sense of another mind: The role of the right temporo-parietal junction. *Neuropsychologia*, 43(10), 1391-1399.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2005.02.013>

- Schultz, R. T., Grelotti, D. J., Klin, A., Kleinman, J., Van der Gaag, C., Marois, R., & Skudlarski, P. (2003). The role of the fusiform face area in social cognition: Implications for the pathobiology of autism. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences*, 358(1430), 415-427. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2002.1208>
- Schultz, W. (2016). Neuronal reward and decision signals: From theories to data. *Physiological Reviews*, 95(3), 853-951. <https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00023.2014>
- Shamay-Tsoory, S. G., Aharon-Peretz, J., & Perry, D. (2009). Two systems for empathy: A double dissociation between emotional and cognitive empathy in inferior frontal gyrus versus ventromedial prefrontal lesions. *Brain*, 132(3), 617-627. <https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awn279>
- Shenton, M. E., Dickey, C. C., Frumin, M., & McCarley, R. W. (2001). A review of MRI findings in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research*, 49(1-2), 1-52. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0920-9964\(01\)00163-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0920-9964(01)00163-4)

*How to cite this article:*

Tancoo, V. (2024). Exploring Empathy and the Right Hemisphere: From Neurological Foundations to Clinical Insights. *Eureka*. 9 (2). <https://doi.org/10.29173/eureka28810>