Lymphatic Drug Absorption via the Enterocytes: Pharmacokinetic Simulation, Modeling, and Considerations for Optimal Drug Development
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ABSTRACT - Most orally administered drugs gain access to the systemic circulation by direct passage from the enterocyte layer of the intestinal tract to the mesenteric blood capillaries. Intestinal lymphatic absorption is another pathway that certain drugs may follow to gain access to the systemic circulation after oral administration. Once absorbed, drug diffuses into the intestinal enterocyte and while in transit may associate with fats as they are processed into chylomicrons within the cells. The chylomicron-associated drug is then secreted from the enterocyte into the lymphatic circulation, thus avoiding the hepatic first-pass liver metabolism, and ultimately entering to the systemic circulation for disposition and action. Due to the possibility of parallel and potentially alternative absorptive pathways, mesenteric blood capillary and lymphatic drug exposure are both potential pathways of systemic availability for any individual drug. In this report, an in silico modeling approach was adopted to delineate the salient pharmacokinetic features of lymphatic absorption, and provide further guidance for the rationale design of drugs and drug delivery systems for lymphatic drug transport. The importance of hepatic extraction ratio, absorption lag time, lipoprotein binding, and the influence of competing portal and lymphatic pathways for systemic drug availability were explored using simulations. The degree of hepatic extraction was found to be an essential consideration when examining the influence of lymphatic uptake to overall oral drug bioavailability. Lymphatic absorption could potentially contribute to multiple peaking phenomena and flip flop pharmacokinetics of orally administered drugs.

INTRODUCTION

Orally administered xenobiotics, including drugs, generally enter the systemic circulation after passing through small intestinal enterocytes, followed by movement through the hepatic portal circulation (Figure 1). However, an additional pathway for oral entry into the post-portal hepatic circulation exists. With this process, xenobiotic in the enterocytes may associate with lipoproteins, specifically chylomicrons, as lipids are processed during their absorption from the gastrointestinal tract. Xenobiotic within the lymph, most likely chylomicron-associated, is subsequently secreted into the mesenteric lymphatic circulation, rather than the hepatic portal circulation. The drug in mesenteric lymph then passes into the thoracic duct and later systemically circulates in the vasculature in the post-hepatic systemic circulation. Drugs that are transported via the lymphatics thus can also increase drug concentrations systemically and increase exposure in lymph ducts and nodes. Notably, the lymphatic pathway can circumvent hepatic first pass extraction which can potentially lead to a higher level of bioavailability than if it were to only pass through the portal pathway (Figure 1).

Many existing drugs are synthesized with physicochemical characteristics (log P>5, triglyceride solubility >50 mg/mL, or large molecular weight >500 g/mol) that are potentially suitable for lymphatic transport through lacteals. Others may also be under development or being formulated with lymphatic absorption and uptake as a target1-3. However, the salient pharmacokinetic factors underlying lymphatic uptake and resultant systemic bioavailability and disposition have not been modelled and delineated using a rationalized pharmacokinetic approach.

The pharmaceutical industry is replete with computational and pharmacoinformatic approaches to oral bioavailability predictions. These software-based approaches assist in new chemical entity drug discovery and translation to clinical development.
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The importance of solubility and intestinal permeability is inherent in the Biopharmaceutical Classification System recognized in many of these software. There are now several sources for commercial ADME simulation software mainly focused on absorption and physiologically based pharmacokinetic modelling (i.e. GastroPlus™, Intellipharm ®PK software, Simcyp™ Simulator, iDEA™ software, PK-Sim®, Cloe® PK and many others). However, we are not aware of currently available software utilized for ADME modeling that incorporate the factors regulating the lymphatic oral absorption and delivery of drugs. In fact, the importance and contribution of lymphatic absorption in drug development and the pharmacokinetic processes and factors that will determine the disposition profile of drugs that undergo lymphatic absorption have been, so far, mostly overlooked.  

To gain insight into the possible contribution of lymphatic absorption to systemic exposure of drug, here we discuss using pharmacokinetic factors (low, moderate, high extraction ratio), absorption lag time, one versus two compartmental open models, and lipoprotein binding with respect to enterocyte lacteal lymphatic absorption of drugs. The influence of competing portal and lymphatic pathways was also examined with simulations. This information can lead to a more rational design of drugs and delivery systems for enhancing lymphatic drug transport and the factors that affect their disposition.

**Simulating portal and lymphatic absorption**

There are several considerations involved in modelling the lymphatic absorption of drugs. One is the extent to which drug reaches the systemic circulation through the intestinal enterocyte to the lymphatics to post-hepatic blood transfer, versus direct intestinal enterocyte to hepatic portal blood transfer of drugs. In the experimental study of lymphatic absorption, one of the approaches is the mesenteric lymph-duct cannulated animals where lymphatic uptake is determined in surgical-diversion studies; this allows the complete collection of lymph flowing through the cannula. Having collected all of the lymph allows for an estimate of the total extent of lymphatic drug transport of a drug. However, available data indicates that drug still is able to be bioavailable through the portal vein into the systemic circulation, suggesting that both pathways are involved in the absorption and entry of drugs into the blood.

![Figure 1. Pathways of drug movement into the systemic circulation](image-url)
Therefore, to permit a study of these pathways, a one and two compartment model was used to simulate drug disposition after oral dosing. The basic steps in movement of drug into the systemic circulation from the formulation in the gastrointestinal lumen to the post-hepatic circulation is depicted in Figures 1 and 2. Where they differ is in their distributional characteristics in the post-hepatic circulation (Figure 2).

In these simulations, the blood clearance (CL) of the drugs was assumed to be due to hepatic clearance, as follows:

\[ CL = \frac{Q \times f_u \times CL'_{int}}{Q + (f_u \times CL'_{int})} \]

Where Q is hepatic blood flow, \( f_u \) is the unbound fraction in blood and \( CL'_{int} \) is the intrinsic clearance of the unbound drug. The basic value of Q was 90 L/h. to match the average value in humans\(^{10}\).

The steady-state volume of distribution was estimated as \( Vd = V_{blood} + V_{app,tissue} \), where \( V_{blood} \) and \( V_{app,tissue} \) are the volumes of blood and that apparently in tissues, respectively. The latter term is expanded as \( Vd = V_{blood} + \frac{f_a}{f_t} \times V_{tissues} \), where \( f_t \) is the unbound fraction in tissues and \( V_{blood} \) and \( V_{tissues} \) are the volumes of blood and tissues, respectively. The apparent tissue volume is \( \frac{f_a}{f_t} \times V_{tissues} \).

The value of fraction of the dose absorbed from the gut (\( f_g \)) is the sum of the fraction of the dose absorbed via the lymphatic and portal pathways (\( f_{lymph} + f_{portal} \)). In its simplest form, each uptake pathway is independent of one another. The concentrations of drug in the blood is the sum of the concentrations imparted by appearance of drug in the systemic circulation through each of these two input processes. The disposition of drug by the one compartment model was estimated as follows:

\[
C_{blood} = \frac{Dose}{Vd} \times \left[ \left( \frac{f_{lymph} \cdot k_{a_{lymph}}}{k_{a_{lymph}} - CL/Vd} \cdot \left( e^{-t \cdot CL/Vd} - e^{-t \cdot k_{a_{lymph}}} \right) \right) + \left( \frac{f_{portal} \cdot k_{a_{portal}}}{k_{a_{portal}} - CL/Vd} \cdot \left( e^{-t \cdot CL/Vd} - e^{-t \cdot k_{a_{portal}}} \right) \right) \right]
\]

**Figure 2.** Two compartment model with dual pathway for drug systemic uptake. The fraction available to the gut, \( f_g \), was assumed to be complete (\( f_g = 1 \)) in all simulations. Each of the portal and lymphatic pathways has an absorption rate constant (\( ka \)) and fraction (\( f \)) term (where \( f_g = f_{lymph} + f_{portal} \)). The first order rate constants for transfer of drug movement between compartments are shown as \( k_{12} \) and \( k_{21} \). For the one compartment model, the peripheral compartment and intercompartmental rate constants are omitted.
For the two-compartment model, the equation was as follows:

\[
C_{\text{blood}} = \frac{Dose \cdot k_{21}}{Vc} \\
\times \left[ f_{\text{lymph}} \cdot k_{a_{\text{lym}}} \right. \\
\left. \frac{e^{-k_{a_{\text{lym}}}} \cdot t}{(\lambda_1 - k_{a_{\text{lym}}} \times (\lambda_2 - k_{a_{\text{lym}}}))} \right] + \left( \frac{e^{-\lambda_1 \cdot t}}{(k_{a_{\text{lym}}} - \lambda_1) \times (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)} \right) \\
+ \left( \frac{e^{-\lambda_2 \cdot t}}{(k_{a_{\text{lym}}} - \lambda_2) \times (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)} \right) \\
\right] + \left[ f_{\text{portal}} \cdot k_{a_{\text{portal}}} \right. \\
\left. \frac{e^{-k_{a_{\text{portal}}}} \cdot t}{(\lambda_1 - k_{a_{\text{portal}}} \times (\lambda_2 - k_{a_{\text{portal}}}))} \right] + \left( \frac{e^{-\lambda_1 \cdot t}}{(k_{a_{\text{portal}}} - \lambda_1) \times (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)} \right) \\
+ \left( \frac{e^{-\lambda_2 \cdot t}}{(k_{a_{\text{portal}}} - \lambda_2) \times (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)} \right) \\
\] 

In this model, \(V_c\) is the volume of the central compartment, \(k_{21}\) is the first order constant describing rate of transfer from peripheral tissues to the central compartment, and \(\lambda_1\) and \(\lambda_2\) are the macro rate constants describing the decline in blood concentrations.

After absorption and transit across the enterocytes, two potential scenarios can occur including drug entry into blood capillaries and the other where entry into the lymph capillaries occurs. The pathway in which absorbed drugs pass directly into portal blood, has been the most prominently studied and/or assumed. This is reasonable considering its large rate of blood flow (~500 times) compared to that of the intestinal lymphatic flow\(^{11}\). However, many large and/or high molecular weight drugs are not readily able to readily diffuse across the blood capillaries. For other smaller-sized molecule drugs, high lipophilicity may provide for strong interactions with fatty components as they are being processed for lymphatic secretion from the enterocytes. Thus, these types of drugs represent possible good candidates for absorption via lymphatic capillaries.

Lymphatic transport begins with blind-ended vessels (lymph capillaries) found in tissues that create a capillary system in which lymph is drained\(^{11,12}\). Lymph capillaries are highly permeable and are not pressurized allowing the lymph fluid to drain easily from the tissue into the lymph capillaries. The lymph vessels form a network throughout the body, and thus function in a unidirectional manner allowing the lymph to be drained from the tissues to the systemic blood. The subclavian blood vessels and the thoracic lymph duct join at the juncture of the left internal jugular and left subclavian veins, where their contents combine just prior to entry into the heart\(^{3,13,14}\).

Upon entry into the intestinal enterocyte, forming lipoproteins (mostly chylomicrons) are critical as they may potentially sequester highly lipophilic drugs\(^{2,15}\). This may facilitate movement from the enterocyte to the lymph. Indeed, lymphatic passage of drug into the lymph would normally require that the drug be incorporated into chylomicrons formed inside of the enterocytes\(^2\). Hence, it is a reasonable assumption that the rate of chylomicron and triglyceride appearance into the
lymph and subsequently blood is linked to the rate of
drug entry into the same fluids where lymphatic
drainage is involved in drug absorption.

Based on reports examining fat absorption and
entry into the lymph following ingestion of fats, it is
apparent that there may be a lag time for the passage
of fats into lymph and/or blood after ingestion of oral
lipids. This is true in humans, rats and sheep. In each case, there is evidence of a lag time (of up to 3 h) until lipid concentrations noticeably
increase in lymph or plasma. Therefore, in the models used, an option of a lag-time was also
introduced into the lymphatic absorption component
(Figures 1 and 2). In order to obtain reasonable estimates of lymphatic absorption some published
reports of fat absorption into the mesenteric lymph in
cannulated animals were digitally scaled and
the log amounts remaining to be passed into lymph
were plotted versus time. This provided for estimates
of lymphatic absorption rate constants. The total
concentrations of drug in the circulating blood are
the sum of the drug entering from each of the two
pathways, as is depicted in Figure 3.

Pharmacokinetic scenarios

Hepatic metabolized drugs are characterized by their
liver extraction ratio, E, calculated as \( \frac{CL_{Hepatic}}{CL_{Hepatic} + Q} \) or
\( \frac{CL_{Hepatic}}{Q} \). The extraction ratio (E) of the liver as an
organ of elimination is a measure of the organ's
relative efficiency in eliminating the drug from the
systemic circulation upon each pass through the organ. An extraction ratio close to 0 indicates that
most of the drug escapes elimination during a single
pass through the organ. An E close to 1 indicates that
most of the drug is eliminated during a single pass
through the organ. Drugs are often classified in three
categories, low (E<0.3), moderate (E = 0.3-0.7) and
high (E>0.7). The CL of low E drugs is highly
dependent upon the product of \( CL_{int} \) and \( f_a \). For high
E drugs, CL is highly sensitive to changes in Q. The
CL of moderate E drugs is influenced by changes in any of \( CL_{int}, f_a \) or Q.

Thus, in order to understand the influence of
extraction ratio on lymphatic absorption three classes
of hypothetical drugs were constructed, varying in
the extent of hepatic extraction. One was low
(E=0.1), one was moderate (E=0.5) and the last one
was high (E=0.9). The fraction of the drug absorbed
via the lymphatic route \( f_{lymph} \) was then varied for
each drug in increments ranging from 0 \( f_{portal} = 1 \) to 1 \( f_{portal} = 0 \). The volume of distribution \( VD \) was
set to 50 or 100 L for each drug. The \( ka_{lymph} \) was set
to 0.33 h\(^{-1}\) based on the average determined from
analysis of fraction of fats being absorbed into lymph
vs time as mentioned above. As there is no extra
processing needed within the enterocytes for drug
entry into the mesenteric blood, the \( ka_{portal} \) was set to
a higher value of 1 h\(^{-1}\).

For simplicity, it is assumed that the entire dose
gains access to the enterocytes, and that there is no
intestinal metabolism occurring.

Figure 3. Depiction of blood concentrations of drug (C\(_{blood}\)) vs. time when the drug enters the body via the portal and
lymphatic routes. Each pathway has its own concentration vs. time profile, with the total measured concentrations being the
sum of drug at each time point from each pathway (C\(_{blood}\)=lymph+portal contribution). Panels show representative drugs in
the absence (left) and presence (right) of a lag time.
Lymphatic absorption with no absorption lag time

The results of these simulations are presented in Figures 4 and 5 for a one and two compartment model, respectively.

Both the one and two compartmental open model simulations showed similar trends in the effects on the blood concentration versus time profiles and in the resultant pharmacokinetic parameters. For a low $E$ drug, there were increases in $t_{\text{max}}$, decreases in $C_{\text{max}}$, but negligible changes in overall drug exposure (AUC) with increases in $f_{\text{lymph}}$. For a moderate $E$ drug, there was a doubling in the AUC from $f_{\text{lymph}} = 0$ to $f_{\text{lymph}}$ of 1, increase in $t_{\text{max}}$, but virtually no change in $C_{\text{max}}$. The increase in AUC with increases in $f_{\text{lymph}}$ became highly pronounced with a high $E$ drug (>10-fold), along with significant increases in $C_{\text{max}}$ and modest increases in $t_{\text{max}}$.

Introduction of a lag time for lymphatic absorption

Based on available studies where intestinal lymphatic uptake of drug was directly measured in the lymph of lymph-duct cannulated animals, there appears to be a lag time associated with appearance of drug and lipids into the lymph. It is also conceivable that a lag time might be associated with a fatty meal being eaten at some time after the ingestion of the dose, while the drug was still present in the gastrointestinal tract. Therefore, to explore the effects of a lag time on concentration vs. time profiles, two lag times of 1.5 and 3 h were introduced into the two compartment model drugs.

The simulation showed that if the fractions of the dose passing by the lymphatics and portal vein are kept constant, the AUC will not vary between situations where different lag times are present. However, there will be changes in the shapes of the concentration vs time curves and in the $C_{\text{max}}$ and $t_{\text{max}}$ values as the lag time changes. A striking phenomenon that becomes apparent in the profile is the appearance of double peaking phenomena during the absorption phases (Figure 6). This becomes apparent and more pronounced as either of the following occurs: a.) the $f_{\text{lymph}}$ increases, b.) the hepatic extraction ratio increases, c.) the $t_{\text{lag}}$ increases. In some cases, there are clear secondary peaks whereas in others there is partial resolution of the peaks or shoulders appearing in the main peak.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Low E</th>
<th>Moderate E</th>
<th>High E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4.** Concentration vs. time profiles for drugs following a one compartment model with low ($E=0.1$), moderate ($E=0.5$) and high ($E=0.9$) levels of hepatic extraction over varying fractions of drug entering by the lymphatic routes. The $Vd$ was set to 50 L and dose 100 mg.
A lymphatic absorption module with a lag time option has been added to the instructional program uSIMPK\textsuperscript{25} for the one compartment model as described above. The findings are similar to what was observed for the two compartment model (Figure 5).

**Competing lymph and portal uptake and influence of a lag time**

The above simulations assumed that the amount of drug entering from lymph and portal pathways retained a constant fraction of drug movement into the blood regardless of the lag time. In effect, if set to 0.5 each, half of the total dose was moved through each pathway independent of the presence of a lag time. It is possible that the two pathways compete for drug uptake however. In this case, for comparative purposes, let us assume that one case has no lag time for lymphatic absorption. For others, let us assume that there is a lag time of up to 3 h. This may be due to an intrinsic delay in drug entry into the lymphatics as part of the chylomicron formation and drug incorporation steps in the enterocytes, or perhaps a meal eaten sometime after administration of the drug. After the meal, chylomicron formation is expected to be heavily promoted by intestinal processing of the fatty components in the meal. This would simultaneously be expectedly to promote intestinal lymphatic processing of lipophilic drugs into chylomicrons, which then would be passed into lymph. Thus, lymphatic entry of such drugs is dependent upon the availability of dietary lipids. For the no lag time situation, the entire dose is immediately available for fractional movement into the circulation via both portal and lymphatic pathways. For the lag time, however, prior to the meal the available drug is essentially zero. By the time the meal was taken much of the dose may have already been absorbed via the portal route. Only after the meal was taken might the two fractions of drug and their respective $k_a$ values, be relevant. Furthermore, only the amount of dose remaining in the gastrointestinal tract once the meal is ingested is subject to both pathways of uptake.

This lag time and competing lymph and portal uptake scenario has been simulated here using the one compartment open model. The amount of dose remaining in the gastrointestinal tract up to the lag time is estimated as follows:

$$Dose_{remaining} = Dose \cdot e^{-k_a \cdot portal \cdot t}$$

Previous to this point in time where lymphatic absorption would occur ($t_{lag}$), the available drug...
within the intestinal tract would be absorbed only by the portal pathway. Once the lymphatic pathway starts to contribute to absorption, the drug remaining in the intestinal tract would become subject to both the lymphatic and portal uptake processes.

For a low E drug, it becomes apparent that the introduction of a lag time (Figure 7) for lymphatic absorption has little impact on the AUC. The $t_{\text{max}}$ is reduced as the lag time is prolonged, and the $C_{\text{max}}$ is increased, but no secondary peaks are visible in the profiles unlike the case where the ratio of lymph to portal uptake is kept constant (Figure 6 center left panel). Essentially, in this case, the proportion of the drug left in the intestines by the time the lymphatic route begins to proceed is much the same as if there was only portal absorption throughout. The expected increase in $t_{\text{max}}$ and lowered $C_{\text{max}}$ caused by the slower rate of lymphatic absorption is apparent.

For a moderate E drug, the $C_{\text{max}}$ is negligibly affected, but the $t_{\text{max}}$ is slightly decreased with a lag time (Figure 7). The overall AUC is also lower as the lag time is increased, but reaches a nadir with a lag time of 3 h. In this case, more of the drug that has passed into the portal circulation has been cleared and extracted by the time the lymphatic pathway starts to proceed, which explains the reduced AUC compared to no lag time. As with the low E drug example, the concentration vs. time relationship appears as a single peak regardless of the presence of a lag time. This is in stark contrast to the observations where there is no competition for the pathways, where there is a secondary peak clearly present with the introduction of a lag time (Figure 6 center panel).

For a high E drug with competing lymphatic and portal absorption mechanisms, the effects of introducing a lag time are much more pronounced. It is apparent that the $C_{\text{max}}$ and AUC both fall precipitously and in parallel as the lag, time is increased. Like the moderate E drug, each approaches a nadir by 3 h. Introducing a lag time allows a greater extent of the eventually absorbed drug mass to be subject to first pass extraction and hepatic CL before the lymphatic route commences.

![Figure 6](image-url)  
*Figure 6. Effect of lag time for a drug following two compartments (see Figure 5) where the fraction of the dose passing into the lymphatic pathway is kept constant at 0.25, 0.5 or 0.75.*
The t\(_{\text{max}}\) interestingly increases then decreases as t\(_{\text{lag}}\) is increased, and unlike the low and moderate E drugs, in the concentration vs. time plots, double peaks become apparent with increased duration of lag time. Once the secondary peak becomes visible at t\(_{\text{lag}}\) of 30 min, the size of the secondary peak is initially larger than the first peak, but this ultimately switches with the use of longer lag times. Longer t\(_{\text{lag}}\) results in drug concentration vs. time profiles that begin to resemble a situation where only portal absorption of drug is occurring with an absence of t\(_{\text{lag}}\) (Figures 4 and 5).

**Effects of lipoprotein binding**

The role of fat absorption and transport is a major functional component of the lymphatic system. The lymphatic uptake of some drugs (which are lipophilic) is prone to be closely linked with the absorption of fats\(^{20}\). It is also not coincidental that many agents reportedly subject to lymphatic absorption\(^{26-30}\) are also known to be highly bound to plasma proteins, including lipoproteins\(^{31-35}\). Thus, the uptake of fats by the intestinal lymphatic system might not only be associated with an increase in drug passage by this pathway, but also a transient increase in binding of drugs to lipoproteins as the lipids make their way into the blood. This will have an influence on the volume of distribution of the drug, and for hepatically cleared drugs, their magnitudes of CL and first pass extraction.

The main lipoprotein formed in the enterocytes that pass into the lymph are chylomicrons, which are the largest and least dense lipoproteins, mostly composed of triglyceride. Using the data presented for the post-prandial plasma triglyceride concentrations\(^{17}\), we digitized the profile and used it to gain an estimate of the rate of absorption and decline in blood. Any pre-meal values were subtracted from each value. Simulations using a one-compartment model assuming first order absorption and decline was applied using non-linear regression to minimize the sum of squares for the difference between reported and predicted values. Two first order rate constants were derived (one indicating increase and the other decrease in concentrations). The optimal rate constants for the processes were found to be 0.55 h\(^{-1}\) and 0.33 h\(^{-1}\). The prediction was improved with the inclusion of a lag time of 1 h, but for the simulations we assumed no lag time.

---

**Figure 7.** Concentration vs. time profiles for drugs following a one compartment model with low (E=0.1), moderate (E=0.5) and high (E=0.9) levels of hepatic extraction over varying lag times of drug entering by the lymphatic routes. The dose was 100 mg and the Vd was set to 50 L.
Figure 8. The simulated plasma triglyceride vs. time relationship (solid line, with data from Rifai et al[17]) and the resultant simulated unbound concentrations in blood (left panel) vs. time curve (solid line). The right panel depicts the changes in unbound fraction of drug in blood ($f_u$) assuming a starting fasted $f_u$ of 0.1 and maximal decrease in $f_u$ to 0.05.

These values were used to simulate the changes in plasma protein binding such that the unbound fraction would arbitrarily decrease by a maximum factor of two as the triglyceride concentrations increased to their peak. Thereafter, the values would return to the initial fasted basal condition. These $f_u$ values were then applied to three hypothetical hepatically cleared drugs that each followed a one-compartment model, differing in their degrees of hepatic extraction. Each drug was modelled as if it were given in the fasted state (where the $f_u$ remained constant at 0.1), or where a meal was given, lipids absorbed and lipoprotein binding changing such that the $f_u$ transiently decreased by half, then gradually rebounding back to the original 0.1 value as shown in Figure 8. These changes in unbound concentrations were then applied to the administrations of low, moderate and high E drugs in the presence and absence of a meal, which would promote lymphatic absorption. In addition to the total (bound + unbound) blood drug concentrations, the unbound concentrations were also determined by multiplying the total concentration by the $f_u$ at each time point. These simulations were performed in the absence of a lag time for lymphatic absorption. One could view this as either the meal being ingested along with the administration of the dose, or in the case of a lag time, just before the dose such that the uptake of lipids via the lymphatic route coincided with the administration of the oral dose of drug. The $f_{portal}$ were progressively decreased from 1 ($f_{lymph}=0$) to 0 ($f_{lymph}=1$) to examine the influence of lymphatic absorption.

**Total drug blood concentrations**

For all administrations with low and moderate E drugs, compared to fasted conditions, administration of the meal led to increases in the AUC of the total drug concentrations in blood (Figures 9 and 10). There was a slight and essentially negligible increase in this ratio of fed to fasted AUC as the $f_{lymph}$ increased for these drugs. The Cmax and tmax for these drugs followed a similar pattern of increases as the $f_{lymph}$ increased, where Cmax was well over one for the fed state throughout and tmax starting at less than one then slightly increasing to the point where $f_{lymph}$ was 1.

Compared to lower levels of hepatic extraction, the high E drug behaved somewhat differently under fed and fasted conditions as the $f_{lymph}$ increased. For each of the AUC, Cmax and tmax parameters, there was little relative change in the parameters until the $f_{lymph}$ accounted greater than 50% of the absorption pathway. The rise was greater as the $f_{lymph}$ approached a value of 1.
The effect of increasing the fraction of $f_{\text{lymph}}$ on total drug AUC was most noticeable on the moderate and low E drugs, where there were steady increases in AUC as the $f_{\text{lymph}}$ increased and $f_{\text{portal}}$ decreased (Figure 11). This was not seen for the low E drug. In all classes of drugs with $E$ ranging from 0.1 to 0.9, the fed state had higher AUC than the matching fasted state for that level of hepatic $E$.

Unbound drug concentrations
For most drugs, it is the unbound drug concentration that is able to traverse cell membranes and interact with tissue receptors for drug action; hence it unbound drug is considered the pharmacologically and toxicologically active component of total drug in the blood. The examination of the systemic exposure for the unbound drug revealed some important differences depending on the magnitude of hepatic $E$ (Figures 9 to 11).

For the low $E$ drug, although in the presence of food the total drug AUC and $C_{\text{max}}$ were consistently $>1$ throughout the spectrum of lymphatic vs. portal uptake (Figure 10), there was virtually no difference (fed to fasted ratio ~1) in the AUC (Figure 11) and $C_{\text{max}}$ of the unbound drug concentrations (Figure 12). For the moderate and high $E$ drugs, the ratios of unbound AUC and $C_{\text{max}}$ of fed compared to fasted states were both less than one when the $f_{\text{lymph}}$ was highest. As the portal pathway became more prevalent, though, the ratio of fed to fasted AUC and $C_{\text{max}}$ increased to values of near one.

Increasing the $f_{\text{lymph}}$ had the effect of increasing the AUC of pharmacologically active unbound drug compared to lower levels of $f_{\text{lymph}}$ (Figure 11).
However, the rise in AUC was higher for the fasting than the post-prandial state.

**Synopsis of simulation results**

There have been, and continue to be, attempts made to improve the oral bioavailability of new chemical entities and drugs by formulating drug products that can promote the lymphatic absorptive pathway of drug entry into the systemic circulation through enterocytes. At the same time, there has been little emphasis on the understanding of the critical pharmacokinetic aspects of lymphatic drug delivery. If a drug could only attain systemic availability solely through the lymphatic pathway, then hepatic extraction would never be an issue. A singular lymphatic absorption pathway could be possible through a targeted ligand delivery approach for lower size (100-200 nM) drugs and vaccines to be transcytosed by M cells in Peyers patches in the intestine for absorption through the intestinal lymphatics that bypasses the liver\(^1\). In such a case, efforts to increase lymphatic uptake through M cells rather than enterocytes could be an additional approach to increasing drug absorption and may have further utility for vaccine, protein, and peptide absorption.

![Figure 10. Changes in AUC, Cmax and tmax for the low, moderate and high E drugs administered with and without a meal (from data depicted in Figure 9).](image-url)
Figure 11. The blood AUC from the data presented in Figures 9 and 10 where transiently increased protein binding occurs in the post-prandial vs. the fasted states.

It seems unlikely that for most small molecules passing into lymph from the enterocytes, that additional portal uptake would not occur. Although many investigators have presented data showing concentration of drugs in lymph after lymphatic mesenteric and/or thoracic duct cannulation and
diversion, few have also shown the blood fluid concentrations in doing so and comparing them to non-cannulated animals. In the few studies that have, it is apparent that drug still appears in the blood fluids after cannulation. This suggests that the portal pathway is still involved in drug absorption. This is further supported by studies where chemical inhibitors of intestinal chylomicron inhibition have been employed, where concentrations of drug can still appear in the blood. In such cases, one has to consider the combined effects of both pathways, which is what we have done in these simulations, and which can be performed using a new uSIMPK module.

The simulations show that the impact of lymphatic absorption on the blood concentrations is heavily reliant on a number of factors, most notably, the magnitude of the drug’s hepatic extraction. Drugs that have a low hepatic extraction ratio are not expected to have any therapeutic advantage in diversion of the drug mass away from the portal pathway into the lymph pathway. Increases in concentrations will only be achieved for those drugs that possess a hepatic extraction ratio of greater than 0.3. As we have shown, the introduction of a lag time for absorption may result in double peaks during the absorption phase, which are most noticeable for those drugs with hepatic extraction ratios >0.3. To our knowledge, the role of lymphatic absorption has not been recognized previously as to its mechanistic contribution to this pharmacokinetic phenomena. However, we have noted many examples of concentration-time curves of drugs reported to undergo lymphatic absorption showing double peaking or multiple peaking phenomena in the literature. When competition for absorption occurs between the portal and lymphatic pathways in combination with a lag time, the summation of the two first-order absorption processes can lead to the double peaking phenomena becoming most notable for those drugs which have a hepatic extraction ratio >0.7.

In the event that plasma protein binding increases due to the effect of increased drug binding to the lipoproteins introduced after a high fat meal, increases in total drug concentrations may occur irrespective of the extent of hepatic extraction in response to the meal (Figures 9 to 11). However, for a low E drug, there will be no changes expected in the magnitude of the unbound drug concentration between the fasted and fed states. In effect, even though the total concentrations are increased, to maintain the level of drug effect, there will be no need to decrease the dose level, irrespective of the fraction of the dose entering via the lymphatics. On the other hand, for moderate and especially high E drugs, higher levels of $f_{lymph}$ will be associated after a meal with lower concentrations of unbound drug, even though the total concentrations are greater in the post-prandial state. Therapeutically, therefore, a drug with high E and high $f_{lymph}$ may have lower levels of pharmacological effect after a high fat meal than under fasted conditions. When the $f_{portal}$ increases to near 1, however, the fed:fasted ratio of unbound AUC approaches a value of unity because bioavailability by the portal pathway is increased due to the smaller unbound fraction during the period of drug absorption. Therapeutically, therefore, when the portal pathway becomes predominant, a given dose level under fasted or fed conditions will provide similar levels of pharmacological effect (in our simulations only 13% lower unbound drug AUC when $f_{portal}=1$ compared to 35% lower when $f_{lymph}=1$). Shunting a high E drug to lymphatics, therefore, would be most successful by giving the drug under fasted conditions if the drug is subject to decreases in unbound fraction. As the simulations show, any increase in plasma protein binding associated with the meal will partially blunt the increase in unbound concentration caused by shunting the drug to the lymphatic route (Figure 11 bottom right panel).

In pharmacokinetic simulations, we assumed that the rate of drug entry via the portal route is faster than that of the lymphatic pathway, because for lymphatic uptake drug must be incorporated first into chylomicrons within the enterocytes. For this reason, in many of the simulations a “flip-flop” phenomenon was apparent in the terminal phase, where the negative slope of the terminal part of the concentration vs. time curve was reflective of the speed of lymphatic absorption rather than drug elimination. Hence, we recognize that lymphatic absorption also has the capability of leading not only to double peaks, but also can be an underlying factor in the “flip-flop” phenomenon.

**Limitations and caveats**

Not all scenarios could be included in the current models. Our main focus was on those drugs mainly eliminated by the liver, usually by metabolism. Some drugs may undergo enterohepatic recycling, and/or other pathways of CL such as renal. The influence of other extrahepatic CL mechanisms would not likely affect oral bioavailability but would obviously...
contribute to the CL of the drug. This would lead to a lower AUC if added to hepatic CL. In regards to biliary recycling, this would lead to additional secondary peaks, but if it were to occur, it would not be expected to favor either the portal or lymphatic pathways appreciably. In essence, it would likely have the same impact on AUC as a general reduction in drug CL.

Other factors not incorporated into the simulations are possible effects of saturation of the lymphatic pathway and intestinal transporters. Although it is conceivable that one of the steps in chylomicron formation is saturable, this occurrence would likely be mitigated by the relatively small mass of drug typically given, in conjunction with the vast length and surface area afforded by the gastrointestinal tract for drug absorption. Indeed, the intestinal tract is designed for optimal absorption of nutrients including fats. The intestinal lymphatic system is also not static but rather adaptive to ingestion of lipids, by decreasing lymphatic pump function, increasing lymph flow, triglyceride concentration and viscosity. Intestinal transporters present on the mucosal aspect of enterocytes would have the effect of changing the amount of drug mass entering into the enterocyte, but not changing the relative outcomes of lymphatic vs. portal contributions to absorption. If on the serosal aspect, however, transporters might conceivably change the balance of lymphatic vs. portal absorption, which should be considered. Intestinal drug metabolism would lower bioavailability but is not expected to change the balance of drug entering via the lymphatic and portal routes.

One last limitation of the simulations is based on the data used to estimate the $\text{ka}_{\text{lymph}}$. This value was derived from cannulated animals, something that would be difficult to do in humans. Thus, there is some uncertainty as to what the value might be in humans.

CONCLUSION

The utility of in silico pharmacokinetic simulation and modeling has highlighted important considerations and factors that should be carefully considered during rationale design, development and formulations of drugs if lymphatic absorption is being considered ab initio in the process.
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