Effects of Vitamin D Serum Level on Morbidity and Mortality in Patients with COVID-19: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Yiyun Hu¹, Janice Y. Kung², Andrew Cave³, Hoan Linh Banh³

¹Department of Pharmacy, The Second Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, China; ²John W. Scott Health Sciences Library, University of Alberta; 3 Department of Family Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

Corresponding authors: Yiyun Hu, Department of Pharmacy, the Second Xiangya Hospital of Central South University, 139 Renmin Middle Rd. Changsha, Hunan, China 410008; email: <u>huyiyun89@126.com</u>; Hoan Linh Banh; Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry/Department of Family Medicine, University of Alberta. 6-10 University Terrace, Edmonton, AB, T6G 2C6, Canada; email: <u>hoan@ualberta.ca</u>

Received, January 20, 2022; Revised, February 2, 2022; Accepted, February 22, 2022; Published, March 2, 2022

ABSTRACT -- Purpose: It has been shown that low Vitamin D serum concentration is associated with increased pneumonia and viral respiratory infections. Vitamin D is readily available, inexpensive, and easy to administer to subjects infected with COVID-19. If effective in reducing the severity of COVID-19, it could be an important and feasible therapeutic intervention. **Methods:** We performed a systematic review and meta-analysis of the literature to determine the effects of Vitamin D serum concentration on mortality and morbidity in COVID-19 patients. The primary objectives were to determine if Vitamin D serum concentration decrease mortality, ICU admissions, ventilator support, and length of hospital stay in COVID-19 patients. **Results:** A total of 3572 publications were identified. Ultimately, 20 studies are included. A total of 12,806 patients aged between 42 to 81 years old were analyzed. The pooled estimated RR for mortality, ICU admission, ventilator support and length of hospital stay were 1.49 (95% CI: 1.34, 1.65), 0.87 (95% CI: 0.67, 1.14), 1.29 (95% CI: 0.79, 1.84), and 0.84 (95% CI -0.45, 2.13). **Conclusion:** There is no statistical difference in mortality, ICU admission rate, ventilator support requirement, and length of hospital stay in COVID-19 patients with low and high Vitamin D serum concentration.

INTRODUCTION

In March 2020, the WHO declared a world pandemic of COVID-19 caused by SARS-CoV-2 infection (1). The infection is associated with severe acute respiratory syndrome resulting from the excessive inflammatory response at 5-7 days. This has a high mortality and patients often require Intensive Care Unit (ICU) care and intubation to cope with the pulmonary response. Another serious complication from COVID-19 is the occurrence of severe thrombotic events affecting limbs, kidneys, or heart (2). In the initial months of the pandemic, there were limited therapeutic treatment options apart from dexamethasone to reduce the body's excessive immune response and no preventive vaccine was yet available.

It has been shown that low Vitamin D serum concentration is associated with increased pneumonia (3) and viral respiratory infections (4). It is postulated that Vitamin D decreases inflammatory mediators such as cytokines (5), platelets (6), and TNF-alpha (7). Vitamin D also regulates the thrombotic pathways.

Vitamin D is readily available, inexpensive, and easy to administer to patients at risk or recently infected. If effective in reducing the severity of the disease response, this would be an important and feasible therapeutic intervention to reduce the inflammatory response of infectious diseases such as COVID-19. The results from the published studies are conflicting. We therefore performed a systematic review and meta-analysis of the literature to determine the clinical effects of Vitamin D serum concentration in COVID-19 patients. The primary objectives are to determine if Vitamin D serum concentration affects the mortality in patients with COVID-19. The secondary objectives are to determine if Vitamin D serum concentration affects: 1) ICU admissions, 2) length of hospital stay, and 3) ventilator support requirement. The systematic review and meta-analysis are registered on PROSPERO (CRD42021243290).

METHOD

The reporting of this systematic review was guided by the standards of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) Statement (8)

Search Strategy

medical librarian (JYK) conducted The comprehensive searches in Ovid MEDLINE, Ovid Embase, CINAHL, Scopus, Web of Science Core Collection, and Cochrane Library (via Wiley) on May 1, 2021. To capture all relevant literature pertaining to Vitamin D and COVID-19, search filters were used to optimise the comprehensiveness of the search such as adapting the search from a Cochrane review related to Vitamin D (9). The confirmation of the first COVID-19 case in November 2019, (10) search strategies were limited by publication date from 2019 to current. Refer to the appendices for full-text search strategies. A total of 3572 results were retrieved and when all duplicates were removed, 1570 unique results remained for the initial title and abstract screening in a web-based tool called Covidence (11) In addition to subscription databases, the team searched trial registries (e.g., ClinicalTrials.gov) and Google Scholar. The first 200 results from Google Scholar were evaluated for inclusion, which has been demonstrated to be a reasonable number of results to screen since there is high overlap between Web of Science and Google Scholar (12). Bibliographies from included studies were also reviewed (Supplements).

Data extraction and quality assessment

The references were independently reviewed by two authors (YYH, HLB). Disagreements were resolved by a third author (AC). The data were independently extracted by two authors (YYH, HLB). This included: subject demographic characteristics, first author and year of publication, design of the study, population, intervention, comparator, sample size, and all outcome measures. The meta-analysis consisted of randomized controlled. and observational studies with the following inclusion criteria: 1) COVID-19 positive patients, 2) low Vitamin D serum concentration group, 3) normal Vitamin D serum concentration group, 4) mortality, 5) intensive care unit (ICU) admission, 6) ventilator support, and 7) length of hospital stay. Exclusion criteria were: 1) non-COVID-19 patients, and 2) no serum concentration reported.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The pooled estimates of odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated to compare the OR of clinical outcomes between the Vitamin D group and a placebo or standard of care group based on the events of reported mortality, ICU admission, ventilator support, and length of hospital stay. I² statistic was applied to inspect heterogeneity. For $I^2 < 50\%$ and p value > 0.1, heterogeneity was acceptable. For $I^2 > 50\%$ and p value < 0.1, the random effect or a meta-regression method to find sources for the obvious heterogeneity was performed. Because the meta-analysis had less than 10 studies, the funnel plot and Egger test were not used to assess the presence of small study effects. All the statistical analyses were performed in Stata 14.1 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX).

RESULT

A total of 3572 publications were identified. After 2002 duplications were removed, the abstracts of 1570 papers were screened. 1521 irrelevant publications were removed and full text for the 49 remaining studies were reviewed. Ultimately, 20 studies are included (Figure 1).

Effect of Vitamin D serum concentration on mortality

The number of deaths reported for low concentration group vs normal concentration group were 819/3125 (26%) and 2162/9681 (22%) patients respectively. The overall pooled estimate of risk ratio (RR) for all studies was 1.49 (95% CI: 1.34, 1.65) using the random effect model with high observed heterogeneity ($I^2 = 83\%$, P < 0.00001) (Figure 2).

There are 279/1531 (18%) deaths in the low serum concentration (measured as 25hydroxyvitamin) group defined as < 20 ng/mL and 252/1369 (18%) deaths in the normal serum concentration group defined as ≥ 20 ng/mL. The overall pooled estimate of RR for these studies is 1.02 (95% CI: 0.64, 1.62) using the random effect model with high observed heterogeneity (I² = 80%, P < 0.0001) (Figure 3).

Effect of Vitamin D serum concentration on ICU admission

Only three studies reported ICU admission. There were 67/332 (20%) and 114/457 (25%) patients admitted to the ICU in the low serum concentration

group and normal serum concentration group respectively. The pooled estimated RR was 0.87 (95% CI: 0.67, 1.14) with low heterogeneity ($I^2 = 0\%$, p = 0.49) Figure 4.



Figure 1. Search Strategy. The characteristics of the studies are summarized in Table 1. A total of 12,806 patients aged between 42 to 81 years old were analyzed. The studies were conducted in United Kingdom (3), Italy (3), United States (2), Iran (2), Germany (2), India (2), Pakistan (1), Thailand (1), Spain (1), Turkey (1), China (1), and Greece (1). The pre-defined Vitamin D serum concentrations varied significantly in the studies. Because the studies are observational studies, the quality of the studies were not assessed as they all have high risk of bias.

The effect of Vitamin D serum concentration on ventilator support requirement.

The reported number of patients requiring ventilator support for the low serum concentration and normal concentration were 267/708 (38%) and 233/920 (25%) respectively. The pooled estimate RR was 1.29 (95% CI: 0.79, 1.84) with high heterogeneity observed between studies ($I^2 = 86\%$, P < 0.00001) (Figure 5). The study from Radujkovic was removed from the analysis and the results showed no difference.

Length of hospital stay

A total of six studies reported the length of hospital stay. The pooled estimate mean difference was 0.84

(95% CI -0.45, 2.13) with low heterogenicity observed between studies ($I^2 = 0\%$, P=0.90) (Figure 6).

DISCUSSION

In this meta-analysis, the results show that Vitamin D serum concentration was not statistically associated with mortality and ICU admission, ventilator support requirement, and length of hospital stay. The studies included in the meta-analysis had various pre-define concentration as low. We conducted a subgroup analysis of the studies with a defined low concentration as < 20 ng/mL since the normal Vitamin D serum concentration is > 20 ng/mL (33). The results did not show a difference. In addition, removing the studies with wide CI did not make a difference.

Vitamin D is a hormone that regulates both innate and adaptive immune responses. Some observational studies showed that patients with respiratory diseases who have higher 25hydroxyvitamin D levels have better clinical outcomes (34). Vitamin D regulates the inflammatory and oxidative pathways which are triggered in COVID-19 patients (35). In addition, Vitamin D maintains cellular homeostasis (34) by modulating the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) pathways (36). The RAAS regulates body electrolytes and hemodynamics. It has been observed that serum angiotensin II levels are significantly elevated in COVID-19 infection which is correlated with COVID-19 viral load and lung damage (37). The COVID-19 virus binds to angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptors to attack human lung epithelial cells and trigger an infection (38-40). The binding of the ACE2 receptors results in ACE2 inhibiting inflammatory, oxidant, fibrotic, and hyperplasia effects. Moreover, with COVID-19 blocking the ACE2 receptors, the angiotensin II will metabolize ACE2. This results in an accumulation of toxicity, which causes acute respiratory syndrome in patients with COVID-19 (41-43). Vitamin D is a potent suppressor in producing renin (44). When Vitamin D is low, the renin level is high which will put the RAAS in overdrive and resulting in an overproduction of angiotensin II (34,45). It is shown that Vitamin D deficiency can cause an over production of the angiotensin converting enzymes (ACE and ACE2) (46). The active form of Vitamin D (1,25dihydroxyvitamin D) is also called calcitriol which

inhibits	the	production	and	secretion	of	many
cytokine	s froi	n the smooth	n bron	chial smoo	oth n	nuscle

	Low Concent	tration	High Concer	ntration	Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M–H, Random, 95% Cl	M–H, Random, 95% Cl
Abrishami A, 2020	21	61	8	12	7.2%	0.52 [0.30, 0.88]	
Adami G, 2020	2	44	5	17	2.9%	0.15 [0.03, 0.72]	
Angelidi A, 2021	7	29	6	65	4.8%	2.61 [0.96, 7.10]	
Anjum S, 2020	16	60	6	80	5.4%	3.56 [1.48, 8.54]	
Baktash V, 2020	6	39	4	31	4.1%	1.19 [0.37, 3.86]	
Brenner H, 2020	480	1438	1883	8110	8.8%	1.44 [1.32, 1.56]	-
Cereda E, 2021	24	99	10	30	6.7%	0.73 [0.39, 1.34]	
Charoenngam N, 2021	14	100	27	187	6.8%	0.97 [0.53, 1.76]	_
Gavioli EM, 2020	52	117	80	260	8.4%	1.44 [1.10, 1.90]	
Hernández JL, 2020	16	162	4	35	4.7%	0.86 [0.31, 2.43]	
Infante M, 2021	4	119	55	118	4.9%	0.07 [0.03, 0.19]	
Jain A, 2020	20	63	1	91	2.0%	28.89 [3.98, 209.76]	
Jevalikar G, 2021	4	197	11	212	4.3%	0.39 [0.13, 1.21]	
Karahan S, 2021	69	137	0	2	1.4%	3.02 [0.24, 38.15]	
Luo X, 2021	5	218	1	117	1.8%	2.68 [0.32, 22.70]	
Orchard L, 2021	6	41	1	9	2.0%	1.32 [0.18, 9.64]	
Radujkovic R, 2020	23	41	0	144	1.2%	162.26 [10.07, 2615.52]	
Szeto B, 2020	16	35	28	58	7.6%	0.95 [0.60, 1.48]	
Tehrani S, 2021	24	110	17	88	7.0%	1.13 [0.65, 1.97]	
Vassiliou AG, 2020	10	15	15	15	8.0%	0.68 [0.47, 0.98]	
Total (95% CI)		3125		9681	100.0%	1.02 [0.74, 1.42]	. ↓
Total events	819		2162				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0	.31; Chi ² = 111	.18, df =	= 19 (P < 0.00	001); $I^2 = -$	83%		
Test for overall effect: Z	= 0.15 (P = 0.3)	88)					Low Concentration High Concentration
							Low Concentration High Concentration

Fig	ure 2.	Vitamin D	serum	concentration	and	mortality.
-----	--------	-----------	-------	---------------	-----	------------

	Low Concent	tration	High Concer	ntration		Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		M-H, Random, 95% Cl
1.1.1 < 20 ng/L								
Adami G, 2020	2	44	5	17	4.9%	0.15 [0.03, 0.72]		
Angelidi A, 2021	7	29	6	65	7.1%	2.61 [0.96, 7.10]		
Anjum S, 2020	16	60	6	80	7.7%	3.56 [1.48, 8.54]		
Cereda E, 2021	24	99	10	30	8.9%	0.73 [0.39, 1.34]		
Charoenngam N, 2021	14	100	27	187	9.0%	0.97 [0.53, 1.76]		
Gavioli EM, 2020	52	117	80	260	10.2%	1.44 [1.10, 1.90]		
Hernández JL, 2020	16	162	4	35	6.9%	0.86 [0.31, 2.43]		
Infante M, 2021	4	119	55	118	7.2%	0.07 [0.03, 0.19]	-	
Jain A, 2020	20	63	1	91	3.6%	28.89 [3.98, 209.76]		
Jevalikar G, 2021	4	197	11	212	6.5%	0.39 [0.13, 1.21]		
Karahan S, 2021	69	137	0	2	2.6%	3.02 [0.24, 38.15]		
Luo X, 2021	5	218	1	117	3.3%	2.68 [0.32, 22.70]		
Orchard L, 2021	6	41	1	9	3.6%	1.32 [0.18, 9.64]		
Szeto B, 2020	16	35	28	58	9.6%	0.95 [0.60, 1.48]		
Tehrani S, 2021	24	110	17	88	9.2%	1.13 [0.65, 1.97]		_ _
Subtotal (95% CI)		1531		1369	100.0%	1.02 [0.64, 1.62]		◆
Total events	279		252					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0	.54; Chi ² = 68.	38, df = 🗄	14 (P < 0.000	01); $I^2 = 8$	0%			
Test for overall effect: Z	= 0.08 (P = 0.5)	94)						
Total (95% CI)		1531		1369	100.0%	1.02 [0.64, 1.62]		•
Total events	279		252					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0	.54; Chi ² = 68.	38, df = 3	14 (P < 0.000	01); $I^2 = 8$	0%		0.01	
Test for overall effect: Z	= 0.08 (P = 0.5)	94)					0.01	U.1 I IU 100
Test for subgroup differ	ences: Not app	licable						Low concentration mgh concentration

Figure 3. Vitamin D serum concentration of < 20 ng/mL and mortality.

	Experim	ental	Control		Risk Ratio			Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Random, 95% Cl
Charoenngam N, 2021	26	100	55	187	43.9%	0.88 [0.59, 1.32]		
Jevalikar G, 2021	29	197	42	212	37.3%	0.74 [0.48, 1.14]		
Szeto B, 2020	12	35	17	58	18.8%	1.17 [0.64, 2.15]		
Total (95% CI)		332		457	100.0%	0.87 [0.67, 1.14]		•
Total events	67		114					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00; Chi ² = 1.43, df = 2 (P = 0.49); I ² = 0%							0.01	0 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.01$ (P = 0.31)							0.01	Low Concentration Normal Concentration

Figure 4. ICU admission between low Vitamin D concentration and normal Vitamin D concentration.

	Low Concent	Concentration Normal Concentration			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M–H, Random, 95% CI	
Charoenngam N, 2021	18	100	30	187	13.8%	1.12 [0.66, 1.91]]	
Gavioli EM, 2020	116	117	127	260	17.3%	2.03 [1.79, 2.30]] – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –	
Hernández JL, 2020	37	162	6	35	11.1%	1.33 [0.61, 2.91]]	
Jevalikar G, 2021	29	197	42	212	14.9%	0.74 [0.48, 1.14]]	
Orchard L, 2021	30	41	6	9	14.2%	1.10 [0.67, 1.81]]	
Radujkovic R, 2020	16	41	0	144	2.1%	113.93 [6.98, 1859.42]]	→
Szeto B, 2020	10	35	10	58	11.2%	1.66 [0.77, 3.58]]	
Vassiliou AG, 2020	11	15	12	15	15.3%	0.92 [0.62, 1.36]] –	
Total (95% CI)		708		920	100.0%	1.32 [0.86, 2.03]	1 +	
Total events	267		233					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0	.27; Chi ² = 46.7	74, df =	7 (P < 0.00001);	² = 85%				00
Test for overall effect: Z	= 1.29 (P = 0.2	Low Concentration Normal Concentration	00					

Figure 5. Ventilator support requirement.

	Low Concentration Normal Concentration			ation		Mean Difference	Mean Difference				
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Random, 95% CI	
Adami G, 2020	18	21.3944	17	13.5	14.7216	14	1.0%	4.50 [-8.26, 17.26]		- -	
Gavioli EM, 2020	11	74.154	177	10	51.237	160	0.9%	1.00 [-12.50, 14.50]		_ _	
Hernández JL, 2020	12	32.2257	162	8	17.4666	35	2.9%	4.00 [-3.62, 11.62]		_ <u>+</u>	
Jevalikar G, 2021	10	5.8	212	9.2	5.6	97	89.9%	0.80 [-0.56, 2.16]			
Orchard L, 2021	34	34	41	43.9	34.8	5	0.2%	-9.90 [-42.13, 22.33]			
Szeto B, 2020	8.8	11.3533	35	9.4	16.7341	58	5.1%	-0.60 [-6.32, 5.12]		+	
Total (95% CI) 644 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00; Chi ² = 1.65, df = 5 (P = 0.90); l ² = 0%						369	100.0%	0.84 [-0.45, 2.13]	-100		
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.28$ (P = 0.20) Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.28$ (P = 0.20) Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.28$ (P = 0.20)									100 nal		

Figure 6. Length of hospital stay.

Table 1. Basic characteristics of studies										
Author, year	Design/Country	Patients characteristics,	Outcomes	Low Concentration (G1)	Normal Concentration (G2)					
41 : 1 : 4 2020	D	sample size	N (1)							
Abrishami A, 2020	Retrospective	Patients: 73 (G1=61, $G2=12$)	Mortality	38.41 ± 18.51 (21)	13.83 ± 12.53 (8)					
Adami G, 2021	Retrospective	Patients: 61 (G1=44, $G2=17$)	Mortality	< 20 ng/mL	$\geq 20 \text{ ng/mL}$					
Angelidi A, 2021	Retrospective, Observational	Patients: 144 (G1=29, G2=65)	Mortality	< 30 ng/mL	\geq 30 ng/mL					
Anjum S, 2020	Prospective, Observational Pakistan	Patients: 140 (G1=60, G2=80)	Mortality	< 25 nmol/L	$\geq 25 \text{ nmol/L}$					
Baktash V, 2020	Prospective, Cohort United Kingdom	Patients: 70 (G1=39, G2=31)	Mortality	≤30 nmol/L	>30 nmol/L					
Brenner H, 2020	Retrospective Germany	Patients: 9548 (G1= 1438, G2=8110)	Mortality	<30 nmol/L	\geq 30 nmol/L					
Cereda E, 2021	Prospective Italy	Patients: 129 (G1= 99, G2= 30)	Mortality	< 30 ng/mL	\geq 30 ng/mL					
Charoenngam N, 2021	Retrospective Thailand	Patients: 287 (G1= 100, G2=187)	Mortality Intubation	< 30 ng/mL	\geq 30 ng/mL					
Gavioli EM, 2020	Retrospective, Observational United Kingdom	Patients: 437 (G1= 117, G2= 260)	Mortality Intubation	< 20 ng/mL	\geq 20 ng/mL					
Hernández JL, 2020	Retrospective, Observational Spain	Patients: 216 (G1= 162, G2= 35)	Mortality Intubation	< 20 ng/mL	$\geq 20 \text{ ng/mL}$					
Infante M, 2021	Retrospective	Patients: (G1= 19, G2= 118)	Mortality	< 20 ng/mL	\geq 20 ng/mL					
Jain A, 2020	Prospective, Observational India	Patients: $154 (G1=63, G2=91)$	Mortality	$14.35\pm5.79~ng/mL$	$27.89\pm6.21~ng/mL$					
Jevalikar G, 2021	Prospective, Cross sectional India	Patients: 410 (G1= 197, G2= 212)	Mortality ICU Intubation	< 20 ng/mL	$\geq 20 \text{ ng/mL}$					
Karahan S, 2021	Retrospective, Observational	Patients: 149 (G1= 137, G2= 2)	Mortality	\leq 30 ng/mL	> 30 ng/mL					
Luo X, 2021	Retrospective, Cross sectional	Patients: 335 (G1= 218, G2= 117)	Mortality	< 30 nmol/L	≥30 nmol/L					
Orchard L, 2021	Retrospective Cohort study United Kingdom	Patients: 50 (G1=41, G2=9)	Mortality Intubation	50 nmol/L	> 50 nmol/L					
Radujkovic R, 2020	Prospective, Observational Germany	Patients: 185 (G1=41, G2=144)	Mortality Intubation	<12 ng/mL	$\geq 12 \text{ ng/mL}$					
Szeto B, 2020	Retrospective, Cohort	Patients: 93 (G1= 35, $G2= 58$)	Mortality	< 20 ng/mL	\geq 20 ng/mL					
Tehrani S, 2021	Retrospective Iran	Patients: $205 (G1=110, G2=88, G3=7)$	Mortality	< 30 ng/ml	\geq 30 ng/ml >100 ng/ml					
Vassiliou AG, 2020	Prospective, Observational Greece	Patients: 30 (G1= 15, G2= 15)	Mortality Intubation	< 15.2 ng/mL	≥15.2 ng/mL					

*One nmol/L is equal to 0.4 ng/mL, and 1 ng/mL is equal to 2.5 nmol/L. cells (47-48). It has been proposed that Vitamin D provides a natural anti-inflammatory and antioxidant effect resulting in improved clinical outcomes in patients with COPD (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease) (49). A possible focus in the management of COVID-19 is supplementing Vitamin D in order to prevent or reverse the inflammatory process from the RAAS (50).

Several recent studies suggest patients receiving Vitamin D supplements targeting a serum concentration could reduce the risk of influenza and COVID-19 infections (51-53). This meta-analysis suggests no statistical difference in mortality, ICU admission, and ventilator support requirement between low and normal serum concentration of vitamin D in patients with COVID-19. This renders determination the of the optimal 25dihvdroxvvitamin D serum concentration required for COVID-19 infection challenge.

The most effective and safe dose to administer to achieve a targeted Vitamin D serum concentration is also unknown. A proposed dosing for patients with COVID-19 is between 5,000 IU or 10,000 IU daily, or 50,000 IU to 100,000 IU weekly (52). Additional randomized controlled trials are needed to determine the ideal dose and Vitamin D serum concentration required for attenuation of COVID-19 infection.

Vitamin D has proven to play an important role in calcium absorption and prevention of osteoporosis (54). In addition, observational studies showed a strong correlation between Vitamin D and cancer, type 1 diabetes, and heart diseases (55). It is essential that adequate Vitamin D supplement is consumed to maintain healthy bone and normal calcium metabolism in healthy individuals. Currently, Health Canada recommends daily intake of Vitamin D between 400 IU and 800 IU (56).

Limitations

The meta-analysis consists of 20 observational studies, and they all have high risk of publication bias. Most of the studies are retrospective studies which present a potential risk of bias. The sample size is very small in all but one study (Brenner) which leads to inadequate statistical power. The defined low concentration varied significantly among the pooled analysis. Most of these studies did not disclose if the patients were receiving supplemental Vitamin D and Vitamin D serum concentrations used in the studies are not consistent. In addition, not all of the studies reported the co-morbidities existing in the patients with COVID-19 infection. Lastly, potential confounders such as administration of antiretroviral medications, convalescent plasma or SARS-CoV-2 antibody-based intravenous immunoglobulin therapy which could affect clinical outcomes were given to patients in many of the studies and may cause bias.

CONCLUSION

There is no statistical difference in mortality, {RR 1.02 (95% CI: 0.74, 1.42)}, ICU admission rate, {RR 0.87(95% CI: 0.67, 1.14)} and ventilator support requirement, {RR was 1.29 (95% CI: 0.79, 1.84)}, and length of hospital stay {0.84 (95% CI -0.45, 2.13)} in COVID-19 patients with low and high Vitamin D serum concentration. Additional randomized controlled trials are needed to provide a specific supplemental vitamin dose and Vitamin D serum concentration to target.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST. None.

REFERENCES

- 1. WHO. WHO Director-General's Opening Remarks at the Media Briefing on COVID-19—11 March 2020. Available online:https://www.who.int/directorgeneral/speeches/detail/who-director-generals-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefingoncovid-19---11-march-2020 (accessed on March 23, 2021).
- 2. Giannis D, Ziogas IA, Gianni P. Coagulation disorders in coronavirus infected patients: COVID-19, SARS-CoV-1, MERS-CoV and lessons from the past. J Clin Virol 2020;127:104362.
- Lu D, Zhang J, Ma C et al. Link between community-acquired pneumonia and vitamin D levels in older patients. Z Gerontol Geriatr 2018;51:435–9.
- Science M, Maguire JL, Russell ML et al. Low serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D level and risk of upper respiratory tract infection in children and adolescents. Clin Infect Dis 2013;57:392– 7.
- Grant WB, Giovannucci E. The possible roles of solar ultraviolet-B radiation and vitamin D in reducing case-fatality rates from the 1918– 1919 infuenza pandemic in the United States. Dermatoendocrinol. 2009;1:215–219.

- 6. Margetic, S. Inflammation and haemostasis. Biochem. Med. (Zagreb) 2012, 22, 49–62.
- Peterson CA, Heffernan ME. Serum tumor necrosis factor-alpha concentrations are negatively correlated with serum 25(OH)D concentrations in healthy women. J Inflamm (London) 2008;5:10.
- Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, et al. *The PRISMA Statement for Reporting Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses of Studies That Evaluate Health Care Interventions: Explanation and Elaboration.* Vol 62.; 2009. doi:10.1016/j. jclinepi.2009.06.006. doi: <u>10.1186/s13643-017-0663-8</u>
- Jagannath VA, Filippini G, Di Pietrantonj C, Asokan GV, Robak EW, Whamond L, Robinson SA. Vitamin D for the management of multiple sclerosis. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2018, Issue 9. Art. No.: CD008422. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD008422.pub3. Accessed 11 March 2021.
- 10. Ma J (13 March 2020). "Coronavirus: China's first confirmed Covid-19 case traced back to November 17". South China Morning Post. https://www.scmp.com/news/china/society/ar ticle/3074991/coronavirus-chinas-first-confirmed-covid-19-case-traced-back Accessed 11 March 2021.
- 11. Covidence systematic review software, Veritas Health Innovation, Melbourne, Australia. Available at <u>www.covidence.org</u>
- Haddaway NR, Collins AM, Coughlin D, Kirk S. The Role of Google Scholar in Evidence Reviews and Its Applicability to Grey Literature Searching. *PLoS ONE*. 2015;10(9):1-17.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0138237.

- 13. Abrishami A, Dalili N, Torbati PM, Asgari R, Arab-Ahmadi M, Behnam BM, et al. Possible association of vitamin D status with lung involvement and outcome in patients with COVID-19: a retrospective study. Eur J Nutr. 2021;60:2249-57.
- Adami G, Giollo A, Fassio A, Benini C, Bertoldo E, Bertoldo F, et al. Vitamin D and disease severity in coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19) Reumatismo. 2021;72:189-96.
- 15. Angelidi AM, Belanger MJ, Lorinsky MK, Karamanis D, Chamorro-Pareja N, Ognibene J, Palaiodimos, et al. Vitamin D status is associated with in-hospital morality and mechanical ventilation: a cohort of COVID-19

hospitalized patients. Mayo Clin Proc. 2021;96:875-86.

- 16. Anjum S, Suleman S, Afridi S, Yasmeen G, Shah MI. Examine the association between severe vitamin D deficiency and mortality in patients with Covid-19. Pakistan J Medical Health Sci. 2020;14:1184-6.
- Baktash V, Hosack T, Patel N, Shah S, Kandiah P, Van den Abbeele K, Missouri CG. Vitamin D status and outcomes for hospitalised older patients with COVID-19. Postgrad Med J. 2021;97:442-7.
- Brenner H, Holleczek B, Schottker B. Vitamin D insufficiency and deficiency and mortality from respiratory diseases in a cohort of older adults: potential for limiting the death toll during and beyond the COVID-19 pandemic? Nutrients. 2020;12:2488. doi: 10.3390/nu12082488.
- 19. Cereda E, Bogliolo L, Klersy C, Lobascio F, Masi S, Crotti S, et al. Vitamin D 25OH deficiency in COVID-19 patients admitted to a tertiary referral hospital. Clin Nutr. 2021;40:2469-72.
- 20. Charoenngam N, Shirvani A, Reddy N, Vodopivec DM, Apovian CM, Hollick MF. Association of vitamin D status with hospital morbidity and mortality in adult hospitalized patients with COVID-19. Endocr Pract. 2021;27:271-8.
- 21. Gavioli EM, Misyashita H, Hassaneen O, Siau
 E. An evaluation of serum 25-hydroxy vitamin
 D levels in patients with COVID-19 in New
 York City. J Am Coll Nutr. 2021;19-1-6. doi: 10.1080/07315724.2020.1869626
- 22. Hernandez JL, Nan D, Fernandez-Ayala M, Gercia-Unzueta M, Hernandez-Hernandez MA, Lopez-Hoyos M. Vitamin D status in hospitalized patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2021;106:e1343-53.
- 23. Infante M, Buoso A, Pieri M, Lupisella S, Nuccetelli M, Bernardi S, et al. Low vitamin D status at admission as a risk factor for poor survival in hospitalized patients with COVID-19: an Italian retrospective study. J Am Coll Nutr. 2021;18:1-16.
- 24. Jian A, Chaurasia R, Sengar NS, Singh M, Mahor S, Narain S. Analysis of vitamin D level among asymptomatic and critically ill COVID-19 patients and its correlation with inflammatory markers. Sci Rep.

2020;19:20191. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-77093-z.

- 25. Jevalikar G, Mithal A, Singh A, Sharma R, Farooqui KJ, Mahendru S, et al. Lack of association of baseline 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels with disease severity and mortality in Indian patients hospitalized for COVID-19. Sci Rep. 2021;11:6258. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-85809-y.
- 26. Karahan S, Katkat F. Impact of serum 25(OH) vitamin D level on mortality in patients with COVID-19 in Turkey. J Nutr Health Aging. 2021;25:189-96.
- 27. Luo X, Liao Q, Shen Y, Li H, Cheng L. Vitamin D deficiency is associated with COVID-19 incidence and disease severity in Chinese people. Nutr. 2021;15:98-103.
- Orchard L, Baldry M, Nasim-Mohi M, Monck C, Saeed K, Grocott MP, et al. Vitamin-D levels and intensive care unit outcomes of a cohort of critically ill COVID-19 patients. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2021;59:1155-63.
- 29. Radujkovic A, Hippchen T, Tiwar-Heckler S, Dreher S, Boxberger M, Merle U. Vitamin D deficiency and outcome of COVID-19 patients. Nutr. 2020;12:2757. doi: 10.3390/nu12092757.
- 30. Szeto B, Zucker JE, LaSota ED, Rubin MR, Walker MD, Yin MT, et al. Vitamin D status and COVID-19 clinical outcomes in hospitalized patients. Endocr Res. 2021;46:66-73.
- 31. Tehrani S, Khabiri N, Moradi H, Mosavat MS, Khabiri S. Evaluation of vitamin D levels in COVID-19 patients referred to Labafinejad hospital in Tehran and its relationship with disease severity and morality. Clin Nutr ESPEN. 2021;42:313.
- 32. Vassiliou A, Jahai E, Pratikaki M, Orfanos SE, Dimopoulou I, Kotanidou A. Low 25hydroxyvitamin D levels on admission to the intensive care unit may predispose COVID-19 pneumonia patients to a higher 28-day mortality risk: a pilot study on a Greek ICU cohort. Nutrients. 2020;12:3773. doi: 10.3390/nu12123773.
- Institute of Medicine (2011) Dietary Reference Intakes for Calcium and Vitamin D. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- 34. Cannell JJ, Vieth R, Umhau JC, et al. Epidemic influenza and vitamin D. Epidemiol

Infect. 2006;134:1129-1140. doi:10.1017/S0950268806007175

- 35. Christakos S, Raval-Pandya M, Wernyj RP, Yang W. Genomic mechanisms involved in the pleiotropic actions of 1,25dihydroxyvitamin D3. Biochem J. 1996;316: 361–71. doi:10.1042/bj3160361.
- 36. Hossein-nezhad A, Spira A, Holick MF. Influence of vitamin D status and vitamin D3 supplementation on genome wide expression of white blood cells: a randomized doubleblind clinical trial. PLoS One 8: e58725, 2013. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058725.
- Liu Y, Yang Y, Zhang C, Huang F, Wang F, Yuan J, et al. Clinical and biochemical indexes from 2019-nCoV infected patients linked to viral loads and lung injury. SciChina Life Sci. 2020;63: 364–74. doi:10.1007/s11427-020-1643-8.
- Cheng H, Wang Y,Wang GQ. Organprotective effect of angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 and its effect on the prognosis of COVID-19. J Med Virol. 2020;92: 726–30. doi:10.1002/jmv.25785.
- 39. Danser AHJ, Epstein M, Batlle D. Reninangiotensin system blockers and the COVID-19 pandemic: at present there is no evidence to abandon renin-angiotensin system blockers. Hypertension. 2020;75:1382–85. doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.120.150 82.
- 40. Sun ML, Yang JM, Sun YP, Su GH. Inhibitors of RAS might be a good choice for the therapy of COVID-19 pneumonia. Zhonghua Jie He He Hu Xi Za Zhi.2020;43: E014. doi:10.3760/cma.j.issn.1001-0939.2020.0014.
- 41. Vaduganathan M, Vardeny O, Michel T, McMurray JJV, Pfeffer MA, Solomon SD. Renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitors in patients with Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2020;382: 1653–9. doi:10.1056/NEJMsr2005760
- 42. Cheng H, Wang Y,Wang GQ. Organprotective effect of angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 and its effect on the prognosis of COVID-19. J Med Virol. 2020;92: 726–30. doi:10.1002/jmv.25785.
- 43. Danser AHJ, Epstein M, Batlle D. Reninangiotensin system blockers and the COVID-19 pandemic: at present there is no evidence to abandon renin-angiotensin system blockers. Hypertension. 2020;75:1382–85.

doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.120.150 82.

- 44. Ferder M, Inserra F, Manucha W, Ferder L. The world pandemic of vitamin D deficiency could possibly be explained by cellular inflammatory response activity induced by the renin-angiotensin system. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol. 2013;304: C1027–C1039. doi:10.1152/ajpcell.00403.2011.
- 45. Li YC, Qiao G, UskokovicM, XiangW, ZhengW, Kong J. Vitamin D: a negative endocrine regulator of the renin-angiotensin system and blood pressure. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 89-90: 387–392, 2004. doi:10.1016/j.jsbmb.2004.03.004.
- 46. Xu J, Yang J, Chen J, Luo Q, Zhang Q, Zhang H. Vitamin D alleviates lipopolysaccharideinduced acute lung injury via regulation of the renin-angiotensin system. Mol Med Rep 16: 7432–7438, 2017. doi:10.3892/mmr.2017.7546.
- 47. ChenWW, Cai XX, TianWM, Shang YX. Expression of RANTES in the lung tissue of asthmatic rats, and the intervention effect of vitamin D on RANTES expression. Zhongguo Dang Dai Er Ke Za Zhi. 2012;14: 863-8.
- 48. Chung C, Silwal P, Kim I, Modlin RL, Jo EK. Vitamin D-cathelicidin axis: at the crossroads between protective immunity and pathological inflammation during infection. Immune Netw. 20:e12, 2020. doi:10.4110/in.2020.20.e12.
- 49. Sandhu MS, Casale TB. The role of vitamin D in asthma. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 105: 191–199, 2010. doi:10.1016/i.engi.2010.01.012

doi:10.1016/j.anai.2010.01.013.

50. Biswas S, Hwang JW, Kirkham PA, Rahman I. Pharmacological and dietary antioxidant

therapies for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Curr Med Chem. 2013;20: 1496–1530. doi:10.2174/0929867311320120004.

- 51. Laird E, Kenny RA. Vitamin D Deficiency in Ireland – Implications for COVID-19. Results from the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing. Dublin: The Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (TILDA), 2020, doi:10.38018/TildaRe.2020-05.
- 52. Grant WB, Lahore H, McDonnell SL, Baggerly CA, French CB, Aliano JL, Bhattoa HP. Evidence that vitamin D supplementation could reduce risk of influenza and COVID-19 infections and deaths. Nutrients. 2020;12: E988. doi:10.3390/nu12040988.
- 53. Ekwaru JP, Zwicker JD, HolickMF, Giovannucci E, Veugelers PJ. The importance of body weight for the dose response relationship of oral vitamin D supplementation and serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D in healthy volunteers. PLoS One 9: e111265, 2014. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0111265.
- 54. Holick MF. Vitamin D: importance in the prevention of cancers, type1 diabetes, heart disease and osteoporosis. Am J Clin Nutr. 2004;79:362-71.
- 55. Wang H, Chen W, Li D, Yin X, Zhang X, Olsen N, et al. Vitamin D and chronic diseases. Agng Dis. 2017;8:346-53.
- 56. Vitamin D and calcium: updated dietary reference intakes. <u>https://www.canada.ca/en/health-</u> <u>canada/services/food-nutrition/healthy-</u> <u>eating/vitamins-minerals/vitamin-calcium-</u> <u>updated-dietary-reference-intakes-</u> <u>nutrition.html</u>. Accessed on January 21, 2022.