

CAIS Poster: The Disappearing Semantic Web; an Examination of 54 Semantic Search Tools

Abstract:

Following years of development of the Semantic Web, we identify and examine 54 semantic search tools: the majority (49) are not free and accessible (e.g.: abandoned, vaporware, or commercial) or don't search general Web documents, while three are opaque in their operations, and two are unused and feature poor.

Over a decade of scholarly studies report on academic progress towards realizing the Semantic Web (SW), including the development (Berners-Lee *et al.* 2001; Maedche *et al.* 2001; Mamou and Sagiv 2003; Lausen *et al.* 2005; Mäkelä 2005; Wei *et al.* 2008; Renteria-Agualimpia *et al.* 2010; Madhu *et al.* 2011), classification (Mangold 2007), and evaluation (Uren *et al.* 2007; Andago *et al.* 2010; Strasunskas and Tomassen 2010; Morato *et al.* 2013) of semantic search tools like Web sites and mobile applications. These tools aim to improve upon traditional information retrieval through improvements ranging from modest, like smarter indices, to drastic, like answering questions sufficiently to preclude the need to look through surrogate documents for the desired information (Rocha *et al.* 2004; Castells *et al.* 2007). Publications including *The Times* and *Search Engine Journal* have confirmed the commercial application of SW technologies in several Web-based semantic search engines (Helft 2007; Farber 2008; Radhakrishnan 2009; Menzel 2010; Messieh 2010; Nicholson 2011; Efrati 2012; Ha 2012) and the resulting intentions to compete with Google by means of a semantic search (Boulton 2008; Messieh 2010; Dishman 2011). In sum, such literature suggests that the SW has arrived, and one could reasonably expect to navigate to such tools right now.

Our study explores the state of semantic search on the Web, focusing in particular on tools that are free to use and search over general Web documents using SW technology, such as formally annotated data, ontologies, knowledge modeling, or natural language processing. In the first of three phases, tools were identified in scholarly and news articles giving indication that they were, at least at some time, semantically enhanced: for example, self-reported or described by others as semantic in a press-release, 'about' page, news article, or scholarly work. Second, a sufficient amount of details were collected about the tools to filter those which did not qualify as free, functional, browser-based, English language tools for searching general textual documents on the Web. Third, we collected and analyzed further data about the five remaining tools to describe the available features and reported semantic technology utilized, thus identifying two groupings.

We found 54 tools described as performing semantic search. 33 tools were unavailable or unready for free and public access; these had been abandoned and removed, acquired by competitors for removal or incorporation into other products, become only commercially available, were still in states of transition or development such as prototyping or beta, or had abandoned the attempt to implement semantic technologies. Nine tools did not search over general Web documents, restricting their scope to recipes or mathematical, medical, or proprietary data sets. Five tools were only supported on mobile platforms, and two were not supported in English. Five remaining tools were examined further and became

clearly divisible into two groups: Google, Bing, and Ask are established, widely used, feature-full tools that claim to implement semantic search but are not forthcoming about how or when they do this, while Cluuz and SenseBot are clear about the semantic technologies they implement but are new, unused, feature-lacking tools. The full inventory of the tools identified and their further properties are detailed in figures.

Given that most semantic search tools identified did not meet our basic criteria, and that the few remaining candidates are either not-clearly-semantic or unused prototypes, it seems that the SW has not yet become the visibly dominant paradigm on the Web. Morato *et al.* (2013) may have identified an explanation for this: “many of the resources of semantic document retrieval lack the minimum of functionality in order to popularize their use” (p.653). This hasn't stopped developers from trying, but our results imply that the majority have failed. Further challenges were identified for future attempts at determining the impact of SW technologies. First, it is difficult to identify semantic search tools more accurately than we have done here, as there is no consensus on how to define semantic search, and no typology of Web-based search tools currently exists. Second, there is no established framework for implementing a semantic search engine, and search engines' operations are opaque, thus making it difficult to determine the extent of semantic technology utilized. Third, it is unclear how to perform comparisons of real-world SW tools against traditional engines; a tool may change approach on a query-by-query basis or implement both approaches simultaneously, and results relevancy may be an otiose measure in cases where the information need may be met with a single precise answer.

References

Andago, M.O., Phoebe, T. and Thanoun, B.A.M. (2010), “Evaluation of a semantic search engine against a keyword search engine using first 20 precision”, *International Journal for the Advancement of Science & Arts*, Vol. 1 No. 2, pp. 55-63.

Berners-Lee, T., Hendler, J. and Lassila, O. (2001), “The Semantic Web”, *Scientific American*, Vol. 284 No. 5, pp. 28-37.

Boulton, C. (2008), “Ask.com sails into semantic search to differentiate from Google”, EWeek, available at <http://www.eweek.com/c/a/Search-Engines/Askcom-Sails-Into-Semantic-Search-to-Differentiate-From-Google/> (accessed on 14 December 2012).

Castells, P., Fernandez, M. and Vallet, D. (2007), “An adaptation of the vector-space model for ontology-based information retrieval”, *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, Vol. 19 No 2, pp. 261–272.

Dishman, L. (2011), “Ask.com CTO Lisa Kavanaugh on teaching an old answer site

brand-new tricks”, Fast Company, Available at:

<http://www.fastcompany.com/1785670/askcom-cto-lisa-kavanaugh-teaching-old-answer-site-brand-new-tricks> (accessed on 14 December, 2012).

Efrati, A. (2012), “Google gives search a refresh”, Wall Street Journal, available at:

http://online.wsj.com/article_email/SB10001424052702304459804577281842851136290-1MyQjAxMTAyMDEwNDExNDQyWj.html (accessed on 14 December 2012).

Farber, D. (2008), “It’s official: Microsoft acquires Powerset”, CNET, available at:

http://news.cnet.com/8301-13953_3-9982015-80.html (accessed on 14 December 2012).

Ha, A. (2012), “Bing adds Qwiki's multimedia presentations to its search results”,

Tech Crunch, available at: <http://techcrunch.com/2012/06/12/qwiki-bing/> (accessed on 14 December 2012).

Helft, M. (2007), “In Silicon Valley, the race is on to trump Google”, The New York

Times, available at: http://www.nytimes.com/2007/01/01/technology/01search.html?ex=1168232400&en=ad47077c00fe61f4&ei=5099&partner=TOPIXNEWS&_r=0

(accessed on 14 December 2012).

Lausen, H., Ding, Y., Stollberg, M., Fensel, D., Hernandez, R. and Han, S. (2005),

“Semantic Web portals: state-of-the-art survey”, *Journal of Knowledge Management*, Vol. 9 No. 5, pp. 40–49.

Madhu, G., Govardhan, A., and Rajinikanth, T. K. V. (2011), “Intelligent Semantic

Web Search Engines: A Brief Survey”, *International Journal of Web & Semantic Technology*, Vol. 2 No. 1, pp. 34-42.

Maedche, A., Staab, S., Stojanovic, N., Studer, R. and Sure, Y. (2001), “SEAL: a

framework for developing semantic Web portals”, *Advances in Databases*, pp. 1–22.

Mäkelä, E. (2005), “Survey of semantic search research”, In *Proceedings of the*

seminar on knowledge management on the Semantic Web, Department of Computer

Science, University of Helsinki, Helsinki.

Mamou, S. and Sagiv, Y. (2003), “XSEarch A semantic search engine for XML”, In *Proceedings of the Twenty-ninth International Conference on Very Large Databases, Berlin, Germany, 9-12 September, 2003*, pp. 45.

Mangold, C. (2007), “A survey and classification of semantic search approaches”, *International Journal of Metadata & Semantic Ontologies*, Vol. 2 No. 1, pp. 23-34.

Menzel, J. (2010), “Deeper understanding with MetaWeb”, GoogleBlog, available at: <http://googleblog.blogspot.ca/2010/07/deeper-understanding-with-metaWeb.html> (accessed on 14 December 2012).

Messieh, N. (2010), “Top 7 semantic search engines as an alternative to Google”, Makeuseof, available at: <http://www.makeuseof.com/tag/top-7-semantic-search-engines-alternative-google-search/> (accessed on 14 December 2012).

Morato, J.L., Sanchez-Cuadrado, S., Dimou, C., Yadav, D. and Palacios, V. (2013), “Evaluation of semantic retrieval systems on the semantic Web”, *Library Hi Tech*, Vol. 31 No. 4, pp. 638-656.

Nicholson, C. (2011), “Wal-mart buys social media firm Kosmix”, The New York Times, available at: <http://dealbook.nytimes.com/2011/04/19/wal-mart-buys-social-media-site-kosmix/> (accessed on 14 December 2012).

Radhakrishnan, A. (2009), “9 Semantic Search Engines That Will Change the World of Search”, *Search Engine Journal*, April 13, 2009, available at: <http://www.searchenginejournal.com/semantic-search-engines/9832/#WX7lxYeH85tLq3sm.99> (accessed on 14 December 2012).

Renteria-Agualimpia, W., López-Pellicer, F., Muro-Medrano, P., Nogueras-Iso, J. and Zarazaga-Soria, F. (2010), “Exploring the advances in semantic search engines”, *Distributed Computing and Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 613–620.

Rocha, C., Schwabe, D. and Aragao, M. (2004), “A hybrid approach for searching in the semantic Web”, In *Proceedings of the 13th international conference on World Wide Web*, pp. 374–383.

Strasunskas, D. and Tomassen, S.L. (2010), “On variety of semantic search systems and their evaluation methods”, In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Information Management and Evaluation*, Academic Conferences Publishing, Cape Town, pp. 380-387.

Uren, V., Lei, Y., Lopez, V., Liu, H., Motta, E. and Giordanino, M. (2007), “The usability of semantic search tools: a review”, *The Knowledge Engineering Review*, Vol. 22 No. 4, pp. 361–377.

Wei, W., Barnaghi, P. M. and Bargiela, A. (2008), “Search with meanings: an overview of semantic search systems”, *Int. J. Communications of SIWN*, Vol. 3, pp. 76-82.